

STABLE RANK OF $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$

CHUN GUANG LI AND ZHUANG NIU

Dedicated to Professor George A. Elliott on the occasion of his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. It is shown that, for an arbitrary free and minimal \mathbb{Z}^n -action on a compact Hausdorff space X , the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ always has stable rank one, i.e., invertible elements are dense. This generalizes a result of Alboiu and Lutley on \mathbb{Z} -actions.

In fact, for any free and minimal topological dynamical system (X, Γ) , where Γ is a countable discrete amenable group, if it has the uniform Rokhlin property and Cuntz comparison of open sets, then the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has stable rank one. Moreover, in this case, the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially if, and only if, it has strict comparison of positive elements.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and preliminaries	3
3. Some lemmas	9
4. Nilpotent elements, order zero maps, and limits of invertible elements	14
5. Property (D) and stable rank one	20
6. Non-invertible elements and zero divisors of $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$	26
7. Stable rank of $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$	30
8. Two remarks on Property (D)	52
References	56

1. INTRODUCTION

The topological stable rank of a unital C^* -algebra A , denoted by $\text{tsr}(A)$, is introduced by Rieffel in his seminal paper [21] as a topological version of the Bass stable rank of a ring: Denote by

$$Lg_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A^n : Ax_1 + Ax_2 + \dots + Ax_n = A\}.$$

Then the topological stable rank of A , denote by $\text{tsr}(A)$, is the smallest n such that Lg_n is dense in A^n (if no such n exists, then the topological stable rank of A is ∞). It was shown in

Date: July 11, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L05, 46L55.

Key words and phrases. Stable rank one, crossed product C^* -algebras.

[12] that the topological stable rank of a C^* -algebra agrees with its Bass stable rank. Thus, we may just refer it as stable rank.

The stable rank models dimension of a topological space: Consider the commutative C^* -algebra $C(X)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. Its stable rank is $\lfloor \frac{\dim(X)}{2} \rfloor + 1$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the integer part. It is also shown in [30] that for any $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$, there exists a simple unital separable C^* -algebra A (A actually can be chosen to be the limit of an inductive sequence of homogeneous C^* -algebras) such that $\text{tsr}(A) = n$.

The class of C^* -algebras with stable rank one is particularly interesting. Any such C^* -algebra A is stably finite, has cancellation of projections, and has the property that $K_1(A)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\overline{U(A)}/U_0(A)$. It is also well known that a C^* -algebra has stable rank one if, and only if, $A = \overline{GL(A)}$, where $GL(A)$ denotes the group of invertible elements of A .

Many classes of simple C^* -algebras have been shown to have stable rank one. For instance, any simple unital finite C^* -algebra which absorbs a UHF algebra or, in general, absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} , has stable rank one (see [22] and [24], respectively). These C^* -algebras certainly are well behaved from the perspective of the classification program.

On the other hand, even beyond the classifiable C^* -algebras, remarkably, it was shown by Elliott, Ho, and Toms ([9], also see [13]) that any simple unital AH algebra with diagonal maps (this class of C^* -algebras contains the exotic AH algebras of [29] and [28], which cannot be classified by the ordered K -groups together with the traces—the Elliott invariant), no matter classifiable or not, always has stable rank one. This result actually is the main motivation of the current paper: Consider the C^* -algebra of a minimal homeomorphism. In general, its behaviors are expected to be parallel to the behaviors of AH algebras with diagonal maps (see, for instance, [15] and [10] on the classifiability and mean dimension), and it had been speculated for a while in the C^* -algebra community that the C^* -algebra of a minimal homeomorphism should always have stable rank one. (Note that, as shown in [11], there exists a minimal homeomorphism of an infinite compact Hausdorff space such that the corresponding C^* -algebra is not classifiable by its Elliott invariant.)

A considerable amount of work has been done concerning this question, and finally it is solved recently by Alboiu and Lutley in [1]. For the C^* -algebra of a minimal homeomorphism, one can consider the orbit-breaking subalgebra, which was introduced by Putnam for Cantor dynamical systems ([20]) and then constructed for a general minimal homeomorphism by Q. Lin ([14]). It was shown by Archey and Phillips ([2]) that if the orbit-breaking subalgebra has stable rank one, then the transformation group C^* -algebra must have stable rank one. If the minimal dynamical system has a Cantor factor (so that the orbit-breaking subalgebra is an AH algebra with diagonal maps), with the result of [9], one has that the transformation group C^* -algebra has stable rank one (see [2]) (this result is also generalized by Suzuki in [26] to the C^* -algebra of a minimal almost finite groupoid). For a general minimal homeomorphism (\mathbb{Z} -action), the orbit-breaking subalgebra might not be AH, but rather a unital inductive limit of subhomogeneous C^* -algebras with diagonal maps (the DSH algebras of [1]). Alboiu and Lutley show in [1] that any unital simple DSH algebra has stable rank one, and thus the C^* -algebra of a minimal homeomorphism has stable rank one.

Beyond the case of \mathbb{Z} -actions, however, it is not clear how to construct orbit-breaking subalgebras in general. So, instead, one may consider the Uniform Rokhlin Property (URP) and Cuntz-comparison of Open Sets (COS) (see Definitions 2.19 and 2.21) for a topological dynamical system (X, Γ) , where Γ is a countable discrete amenable group. These two properties are introduced in [17], and it is shown that, under the assumption of the (URP) and (COS), the radius of comparison of the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is dominated by half of the mean dimension of (X, Γ) ([17]), and the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is classified by its Elliott invariant if (X, Γ) has mean dimension zero ([18]). Moreover, any free and minimal \mathbb{Z}^d -action have the (URP) and (COS) ([16]).

In this paper, we still consider these two properties, and we show that if a free and minimal Γ -action has the (URP) and (COS), then the transformation group C^* -algebra must have stable rank one (Theorem 7.8). Since any free and minimal \mathbb{Z}^d -actions have the (URP) and (COS), the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$ has stable rank one, no matter it is classifiable or not:

Theorem (Corollary 7.9). *Let \mathbb{Z}^d act freely and minimally on a compact Hausdorff space X . Then $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d) = 1$.*

As consequences of stable rank one, we obtain the following properties of the crossed product C^* -algebra $A = C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$, where (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) is free and minimal:

- A has cancellation of projections, cancellation in Cuntz semigroup, and $U(A)/U_0(A) \cong K_1(A)$ (Corollary 7.11).
- Approximately unitary equivalence classes of homomorphisms from an AI algebra to A is determined by the induced maps on Cuntz semigroups (Corollary 7.12).
- Any strictly positive lower semicontinuous affine function on $T(A)$ can be realized as the rank function of some positive element of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ (Corollary 7.13).
- A absorbs the Jiang-Su algebra tensorially if, and only if, A has strict comparison of positive elements (Corollary 7.14). That is, A satisfies the Toms-Winter conjecture.
- The real rank of A is either 0 or 1 (Corollary 7.15).

Acknowledgements. The research of the second named author is supported by an NSF grant (DMS-1800882). The result in this paper is obtained during the visit of the first named author to the University of Wyoming in 2019-2020, which is supported by a CSC visiting scholar fellowship (No. 201906625028). The first named author thanks the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at the University of Wyoming for the hospitality. The research of the first named author is also partly supported by an NNSF grant of China (No. 11401088).

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Topological Dynamical Systems.

Definition 2.1. Consider a topological dynamical system (X, Γ) , where X is a separable compact Hausdorff space, Γ is a discrete group which acts on X from the right. The dynamical system (X, Γ) is said to be minimal if

$$Y\gamma = Y, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma$$

for some closed set $Y \subseteq X$ implies $Y = \emptyset$ or $Y = X$; and the dynamical system (X, Γ) is said to be free if

$$x\gamma = x$$

for some $x \in X$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ implies $\gamma = e$.

Definition 2.2. A Borel measure μ on X is invariant under the action σ if for any Borel set $E \subseteq X$, one has

$$\mu(E) = \mu(E\gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$ the set of all invariant Borel probability measures on X . It is a Choquet simplex under the weak* topology.

Definition 2.3. Let Γ be a countable discrete group. Let $K \subseteq \Gamma$ be a finite set and let $\delta > 0$. Then a finite set $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is said to be (K, ε) -invariant if

$$\frac{|EK\Delta E|}{|E|} < \varepsilon.$$

The group Γ is amenable if there is a sequence (Γ_n) of finite subsets of Γ such that for any (K, ε) , there is N such that Γ_n is (K, ε) -invariant for any $n > N$. The sequence (Γ_n) is called a Følner sequence.

The K -interior of a finite set $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is defined as

$$\text{int}_K(E) = \{\gamma \in E : \gamma K \subseteq E\},$$

and the K -boundary of E is defined as

$$\partial_K E := E \setminus \text{int}_K(E) = \{\gamma \in E : \gamma\gamma' \notin E \text{ for some } \gamma' \in K\}.$$

Note that

$$|E \setminus \text{int}_K(E)| \leq |K| |EK \setminus E| \leq |K| |EK\Delta E|,$$

and hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$, if E is $(K, \frac{\varepsilon}{|K|})$ -invariant, then

$$\frac{|E \setminus \text{int}_K(E)|}{|E|} < \varepsilon.$$

Remark 2.4. If a set $E \subseteq \Gamma$ is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the left translation γE is again $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant.

Definition 2.5. An (exact) tiling of a discrete group consists of

- a finite collection $\mathcal{S} = \{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_n\}$ of finite subsets of Γ containing the unit e , called the shapes,
- a finite collection $\mathcal{C} = \{C(S) : S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of disjoint subsets of Γ , called center sets,

such that the left translations

$$cS, \quad c \in C(S), \quad S \in \mathcal{S}$$

form a partition of Γ .

Remark 2.6. If Γ is amenable, it follows from [6] that for any finite set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Gamma$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a tiling of Γ such that all its shapes are $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant.

2.2. Crossed product C*-algebras. Consider a topological dynamical system (X, Γ) . Then the group Γ acts (from the left) on the C*-algebra $C(X)$ by

$$\gamma(f) = f \circ \gamma.$$

The (full) crossed product C*-algebra $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is defined to be the universal C*-algebra

$$C^*\{f, u_\gamma : u_\gamma f u_\gamma^* = f \circ \gamma, u_{\gamma_1} u_{\gamma_2}^* = u_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2^{-1}}, u_e = 1_A, f \in C(X), \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma\}.$$

The C*-algebra A is nuclear if Γ is amenable (see, for instance, Corollary 7.18 of [31]). If, moreover, σ is minimal, the C*-algebra A is simple (Theorem 5.16 of [7] and Théorème 5.15 of [34]), i.e., A has no non-trivial two-sided ideals.

2.3. Cuntz-sub-equivalence and rank functions.

Definition 2.7. Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $a, b \in A^+$. The element a is said to be Cuntz sub-equivalent to b , denoted by $a \lesssim b$, if there are $x_i, y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i b y_i = a.$$

Example 2.8. Let $f, g \in C(X)$ be positive elements, and consider the open sets

$$E := f^{-1}(0, +\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad F := g^{-1}(0, +\infty).$$

Then $f \lesssim g$ if and only if $E \subseteq F$. That is, their Cuntz equivalence classes are determined by their open supports.

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

Definition 2.9. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define the function $f_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < \varepsilon/2, \\ \text{linear}, & \varepsilon/2 \leq t < \varepsilon, \\ 1, & t \geq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.10 (Proposition 2.4(iv) of [23]). *Let A be a C*-algebra, and let $a, b \in A$ be positive. If $a \lesssim b$, then for any $\delta > 0$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r \in A$ such that*

$$f_\delta(a) = r^* f_\varepsilon(b) r.$$

In particular, denoted by $v = f_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(b) r \in A$, one has

$$f_\delta(a) = v^* v \quad \text{and} \quad v v^* \in \text{Her}(b).$$

Definition 2.11. Let A be a C*-algebra, let $T(A)$ denote the set of all tracial states of A , equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Note that if A is unital, the set $T(A)$ is a Choquet simplex.

Let a be a positive element of $M_\infty(A)$ and $\tau \in T(A)$; define

$$d_\tau(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(a_n^{\frac{1}{n}}) = \mu_\tau(\text{sp}(a) \cap (0, +\infty)), \quad a \in A^+,$$

where μ_τ is the Borel measure induced by τ on the spectrum of a . It is well known that if $a \lesssim b$, then

$$d_\tau(a) \leq d_\tau(b), \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

Example 2.12. Consider $h \in C(X)^+$ and let μ be a Borel probability measure on X , where X is a compact Hausdorff space. Then

$$d_{\tau_\mu} = \mu(f^{-1}(0, +\infty)),$$

where τ_μ is the trace of $C(X)$ defined by

$$\tau_\mu(f) = \int f d\mu, \quad f \in C(X).$$

If $A = M_n(C_0(X))$, where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then, for any positive element $a \in M_\infty(A) \cong M_\infty(C_0(X))$ and any $\tau \in T(A)$, one has

$$\tau(a) = \int_X \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(a(x)) d\mu_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad d_\tau(a) = \int_X \frac{1}{n} \text{rank}(a(x)) d\mu_\tau,$$

where μ_τ is the Borel measure on X induced by τ .

Definition 2.13. For each open set $E \subseteq X$, pick a continuous function

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi_E : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$$

such that

- (1) $E = \varphi_E^{-1}((0, 1])$ and
- (2) there is an open set $V \subseteq E$ such that $\varphi_E|_V = 1$. (In particular, $\|\varphi_E\| = 1$.)

For instance, one can pick $\varphi_E(x) = \min\{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}d(x, X \setminus E), 1\}$, where d is a compatible metric on X and $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. This notation will be used throughout this paper.

Note that the hereditary sub-C*-algebra $\overline{\varphi_E A \varphi_E}$ is independent of the choice of individual function φ_E , where A is a C*-algebra containing $C(X)$. Therefore, one also denotes $\overline{\varphi_E A \varphi_E}$ by $\text{Her}(E)$ in the paper.

2.4. Order zero maps and Rokhlin towers.

Definition 2.14 (Order zero maps). Let A, B be C*-algebras. A linear map $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is said to be order zero if

$$a \perp b \implies \phi(a) \perp \phi(b), \quad a, b \in A^+.$$

Let A be a C*-algebra and $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ is a c.p. order zero map. Let $C := C^*(\phi(M_n)) \subseteq A$, and let $h = \phi(1_n)$. Then $h \in C \cap C'$, $\|h\| = \|\phi\|$, and there is a homomorphism $\pi_\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(C) \cap \{h\}' \subseteq A^{**}$ such that

$$\phi(a) = \pi_\phi(a)h, \quad a \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Moreover,

$$C \cong M_n(C_0((0, 1])).$$

Definition 2.15. Let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ be a c.p. order zero map, and let $f \in C_0((0, \|h\|])$, where $h = \phi(1_n)$. Then the map

$$M_n(\mathbb{C}) \ni a \mapsto \pi_\phi(a)f(h) \in A$$

is again an order zero map, where π_ϕ is as above. Denote this new order zero map by $f(\phi)$.

An order zero map $\psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ is said to be extendable if there is a c.p. order zero map $\psi' : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi = f_\delta(\psi')$ for some $\delta > 0$.

The following is well known:

Lemma 2.16 ([32]). *Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in A$, where A is a C^* -algebra, such that*

- $v_1^*v_1 = v_2^*v_2 = \dots = v_n^*v_n$,
- $v_1^*v_1, v_1v_1^*, v_2v_2^*, \dots, v_nv_n^*$ are mutually orthogonal, and
- $\|v_1^*v_1\| = 1$.

Then there is an order zero map $\phi : M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ such that $\|\phi\| = 1$,

$$\phi(e_{0,0}) = v_1^*v_1, \quad \phi(e_{i,i}) = v_iv_i^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Definition 2.17. A Rokhlin tower of a dynamical system (X, Γ) is a pair (B, Γ_0) , where $B \subseteq X$ and $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ is finite, such that

$$B\gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_0,$$

are mutually disjoint. It is an open tower if the base set B is open. Without loss of generality, one may assume that Γ_0 contains the unit of Γ .

One can naturally construct order-zero maps from Rokhlin towers, as follows:

Let (B, Γ_0) be a tower, and pick a positive function $e : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $e^{-1}((0, 1]) \subseteq B$. Let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma_0$ and consider

$$v := u_{\gamma_2}^* e^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{\gamma_1}.$$

Then

$$v^*v = u_{\gamma_1}^* e u_{\gamma_1} = e \circ \gamma_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad vv^* = u_{\gamma_2}^* e u_{\gamma_2} = e \circ \gamma_2^{-1}.$$

In general, if $F_1, F_2 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ are two disjoint sets with $|F_1| = |F_2|$. Pick a one-to-one correspondence $\theta : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$, and consider

$$v := \sum_{\gamma \in F_1} u_{\theta(\gamma)}^* e^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{\gamma}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v^*v &= \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in F_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* e^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{\theta(\gamma_1)} u_{\theta(\gamma_2)}^* e^{\frac{1}{2}} u_{\gamma_2} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in F_1} u_{\gamma_1}^* u_{\theta(\gamma_1)} (e^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \theta(\gamma_1)^{-1}) (e^{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \theta(\gamma_2)^{-1}) u_{\theta(\gamma_2)}^* u_{\gamma_2} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in F_1} u_{\gamma}^* e u_{\gamma}, \end{aligned}$$

and the same calculation shows that

$$vv^* = \sum_{\gamma \in F_1} u_{\theta(\gamma)}^* e u_{\theta(\gamma)} = \sum_{\gamma \in F_2} u_{\gamma}^* e u_{\gamma}.$$

Now, suppose there are mutually disjoint sets

$$\Gamma_{0,1}, \Gamma_{0,2}, \dots, \Gamma_{0,n} \subseteq \Gamma_0$$

such that

$$|\Gamma_{0,1}| = |\Gamma_{0,2}| = \dots = |\Gamma_{0,n}|.$$

Consider

$$e_1 := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0,1}} u_\gamma^* e u_\gamma, \dots, e_n := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0,n}} u_\gamma^* e u_\gamma.$$

Then the above calculation shows that there are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-1} such that

$$v_1^* v_1 = v_2^* v_2 = \dots = v_{n-1}^* v_{n-1} = e_1$$

and

$$v_1 v_1^* = e_2, v_2 v_2^* = e_2, \dots, v_{n-1} v_{n-1}^* = e_n.$$

So, there is an order zero map $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\phi(e_{i,i}) = e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In summary, one has the following lemma:

Lemma 2.18. *Let (B, Γ_0) be a Rokhlin tower, and let $\Gamma_{0,1}, \Gamma_{0,2}, \dots, \Gamma_{0,n} \subseteq \Gamma_0$ be mutually disjoint sets such that*

$$|\Gamma_{0,1}| = |\Gamma_{0,2}| = \dots = |\Gamma_{0,n}|.$$

Let $e : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a continuous function such that $e^{-1}((0, 1]) \subseteq B$. Set

$$e_1 := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0,1}} u_\gamma^* e u_\gamma, \dots, e_n := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{0,n}} u_\gamma^* e u_\gamma.$$

Then there is an order zero map $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\phi(e_{i,i}) = e_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

2.5. Uniform Rokhlin property and Cuntz comparison of open sets.

Definition 2.19 ([17]). A dynamical system (X, Γ) is said to have the uniform Rokhlin property (URP) if for any finite set \mathcal{F} , any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are open Rokhlin towers $(B_1, F_1), \dots, (B_S, F_S)$ such that F_1, F_2, \dots, F_S are $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant,

$$B_s \gamma, \quad \gamma \in F_s, s = 1, 2, \dots, S$$

are mutually disjoint, and

$$\mu\left(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{s=1}^S \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma\right) < \varepsilon, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

Remark 2.20. If $E \subseteq X$ is a closed set, then $\mu(E) < \varepsilon$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$ if, and only if, the orbit capacity of E is at most ε .

Definition 2.21 ([17]). A topological dynamical system (X, Γ) is said to have (λ, m) -Cuntz comparison of open sets, where $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, if, for any open sets $E, F \subseteq X$ with

$$\mu(E) \leq \lambda \mu(F), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma),$$

one has

$$\varphi_E \preceq \underbrace{\varphi_F \oplus \dots \oplus \varphi_F}_m \quad \text{in } M_\infty(\mathbb{C}(X) \rtimes \Gamma).$$

A topological dynamical system is said to have Cuntz comparison of open sets (COS) if it has (λ, m) -Cuntz comparison of open sets for some λ and m .

It follows from Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.5 of [16] that

Theorem 2.22. *Any free and minimal dynamical system (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) has the (URP) and (COS).*

3. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, let us develop some lemmas on dimension drop C^* -algebras and order zero c.p.c. maps with domain a matrix algebra. Let us start with a simple observation:

Lemma 3.1. *Let a, c be elements of a unital C^* -algebra, and assume that $ac = c$ and a is positive. Then,*

$$f(a)c = f(1)c, \quad f \in C([0, \|a\|]).$$

Proof. If $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k t^k$, then

$$f(a)c = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k a^k \right) c = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k a^k c = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k c = \left(\sum_{k=0}^n c_k \right) c = f(1)c.$$

The general statement follows from the Weierstrass Theorem. \square

It is well known that the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by v with respect to relations

$$vv^* \perp v^*v \quad \text{and} \quad \|vv^*\| \leq 1$$

is

$$D = \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C}) : f(0) \in \mathbb{C}1_2\},$$

with v corresponding to

$$[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{t} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this identification, one has the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $v \in A$. Consider $a = vv^*$ and $b = v^*v$, and assume that $\|a\| \leq 1$ and $a \perp b$. Define*

$$w = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)\right) + g(vv^*)v - g(v^*v)v^*,$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right)}{\sqrt{t}}, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

Then $w \in C^*\{v, 1_A\}$ is a unitary such that

$$(3.1) \quad b(w^*cw) = (w^*cw)b = w^*cw, \quad \text{if } ac = ca = c,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad a(wcw^*) = (wcw^*)a = wcw^*, \quad \text{if } bc = cb = c.$$

Proof. Noting that $vv^* + v^*v$ is central in $C^*(1, v)$, $vv^* \perp v^*v$, and

$$v^*h(vv^*) = h(v^*v)v^*, \quad h \in C_0((0, 1]),$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned} ww^* &= (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + g(vv^*)v - g(v^*v)v^*) \\ &\quad (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + v^*g(vv^*) - vg(v^*v)) \\ &= \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + g^2(vv^*)vv^* + g^2(v^*v)v^*v \\ &= \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}v^*v) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}vv^*) \\ &= \cos^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{2}(v^*v + vv^*)) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The same calculation shows that $w^*w = 1$, and hence w is a unitary.

Let $c \in A$ be an element satisfying

$$ac = ca = c.$$

Note that, for any $f \in C([0, 1])$, by Lemma 3.1, one has

$$(3.3) \quad f(a)c = f(1)c = cf(a).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w^*cw &= (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + v^*g(vv^*) - vg(v^*v))c \\ &\quad (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(vv^* + v^*v)) + g(vv^*)v - g(v^*v)v^*) \\ &= (\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(a)) + v^*g(vv^*))c(\cos(\frac{\pi}{2}(a)) + g(vv^*)v) \\ &= v^*g(vv^*)cg(vv^*)v \\ &= v^*cv, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(w^*cw)b = v^*cvb = v^*cvv^*v = v^*cav = v^*cv = w^*cw$$

and

$$b(w^*cw) = bv^*cv = v^*vv^*cv = v^*acv = v^*cv = w^*cw.$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$a(wcw^*) = (wcw^*)a = wcw^*$$

if $bc = cb = c$. □

The following lemma is crucial in the proof of Proposition 5.4, in which it produces an element that behaves as a lower triangular matrix.

Lemma 3.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in A$ be elements satisfying*

$$\bullet \quad v_1^*v_1 = v_2^*v_2 = \dots = v_n^*v_n,$$

Indeed, put

$$w_{i,j} = v_i v_j^*, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$w_{0,0} = v_1^* v_1, \quad w_{i,0} = v_i, \quad w_{0,j} = v_j^*, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$w = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^n g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,i-1}(w_{i,i}) w_{i,i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i,n}(w_{i,i}) w_{i,n},$$

for some functions $g_{i,j} \in C_0((0, 1])$. Note that

$$(3.5) \quad g_{0,0}(1) = -1.$$

Let E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n be mutually orthogonal positive elements of A satisfying

$$(3.6) \quad w_{i,i} E_i = w_{i,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad [w_{0,0}, E_i] = 0.$$

Note that, by (3.6) and polar-decomposition, one has

$$v_j^* E_i = v_j' (v_j v_j^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_i = v_j' w_{j,j}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_i = v_j' w_{j,j}^{\frac{1}{2}} E_j E_i = 0, \quad j \neq i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

where v_j' is a partial isometry in the enveloping von Neumann algebra. Then, for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$w_{i_1, i_2} E_i = v_{i_1} v_{i_2}^* E_i = 0, \quad i_2 \neq i, \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2 \leq n$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} w E_i &= \left(1 + \sum_{j=0}^n g_{j,j}(w_{j,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^n g_{j,j-1}(w_{j,j}) w_{j,j-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{j,n}(w_{j,j}) w_{j,n}\right) E_i \\ &= E_i + g_{0,0}(w_{0,0}) E_i + g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) E_i + g_{1,0}(w_{1,1}) w_{1,0} E_i + g_{i+1,i}(w_{i+1,i+1}) w_{i+1,i} E_i. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.7),

$$g_{0,0}(w_{0,0}) E_i = E_i g_{0,0}(w_{0,0}) \in E_i A.$$

By (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) E_i &= E_i g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) \in E_i A, \\ g_{1,0}(w_{1,1}) w_{1,0} E_i &= E_1 g_{1,0}(w_{1,1}) w_{1,0} E_i \in E_1 A, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g_{i+1,i}(w_{i+1,i+1}) w_{i+1,i} E_i = E_{i+1} g_{i+1,i}(w_{i+1,i+1}) w_{i+1,i} E_i \in E_{i+1} A.$$

Therefore,

$$w E \in \overline{(E_1 + E_i + E_{i+1}) A},$$

and this proves Property (1).

For Property (2), let D be a hereditary sub-C*-algebra such that

$$w_{i,i} \in D, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and let $d \in D$ be an element satisfying

$$[d, w_{0,0}] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{0,n}d = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} wd &= \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^n g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,i-1}(w_{i,i})w_{i,i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i,n}(w_{i,i})w_{i,n}\right)d \\ &= d + g_{0,0}(w_{0,0})d + \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,i}(w_{i,i})d + \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,i-1}(w_{i,i})w_{i,i-1}d + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{i,n}(w_{i,i})w_{i,n}d \\ &\in \overline{DA}. \end{aligned}$$

For Property (3), let $c \in A$ be a positive element such that

$$w_{0,0}c = c.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1 and (3.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned} wc &= \left(1 + \sum_{i=0}^n g_{i,i}(w_{i,i}) + \sum_{i=1}^n g_{i,i-1}(w_{i,i})w_{i,i-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} g_{i,n}(w_{i,i})w_{i,n}\right)c \\ &= c + g_{0,0}(w_{0,0})c + g_{1,0}(w_{1,1})w_{1,0}c \\ &= (1 + g_{0,0}(1))c + g_{1,0}(w_{1,1})w_{1,0}c \\ &= g_{1,0}(w_{1,1})w_{1,0}c \in \overline{w_{1,1}A}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ be an extendable order zero *c.p.c.* map. Denote by $e_i = \phi(e_{i,i})$ and $h = \phi(1_n)$. Then, for any permutation $\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, there is a unitary $u \in A$ such that*

$$[u, h] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u^*e_iu = e_{\sigma(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Since ϕ is extendable, there is an order zero map $\tilde{\phi} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\phi = f_\delta(\tilde{\phi})$. Note that

$$C := C^*\{\tilde{\phi}(M_n(\mathbb{C}))\} \cong \{f : [0, 1] \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) : f(0) = 0_n\} \cong C_0((0, 1]) \otimes M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

with $e_i = f_\delta \otimes e_{i,i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, under the isomorphism. Denote by $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the permutation unitary matrix such that

$$U^*e_{i,i}U = e_{\sigma(i),\sigma(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since the unitary group of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is path connected, there is a continuous path of unitaries

$$[0, \frac{\delta}{2}] \ni t \mapsto U_t \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

such that $U_0 = 1_n$ and $U_{\delta/2} = U$. Set

$$u : t \mapsto u(t) = \begin{cases} U_t, & t \in [0, \frac{\delta}{2}], \\ U, & t \in [\frac{\delta}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then the unitary $u \in C + \mathbb{C}1_A \subseteq A$ has the desired property. \square

4. NILPOTENT ELEMENTS, ORDER ZERO MAPS, AND LIMITS OF INVERTIBLE ELEMENTS

An element a of a C^* -algebra is said to be nilpotent if $a^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is well known that if a is nilpotent, then $a + \varepsilon 1_A$ is invertible for any non-zero ε ; in fact,

$$(4.1) \quad (a + \varepsilon 1_A)^{-1} = \frac{1_A}{\varepsilon} - \frac{a}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{a^2}{\varepsilon^3} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a^{n-1}}{\varepsilon^n} + \cdots,$$

where the infinite series is eventually zero, as a is nilpotent. Hence any nilpotent element is in the closure of invertible elements.

The following lemma is a modified version of Lemma 4.2 of [2].

Lemma 4.1 (c.f. Lemma 4.2 of [2]). *Let A be a finite unital C^* -algebra and let $a \in A$. Suppose there exist positive elements b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2 such that*

- (1) $b_1 + b_2 = 1_A$,
- (2) $c_1 + c_2 = 1_A$,
- (3) $C^*\{b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2\}$ is commutative,
- (4) $b_1 c_1 = c_1$,
- (5) $b_2 c_2 = b_2$,
- (6) $c_1 b_2 = 0$,
- (7) there are unitaries $u, v \in A$ such that

$$u(b_2 a(b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2)v \quad \text{and} \quad u(b_2 a b_2)v$$

are nilpotent,

$$(4.2) \quad v(u(b_2 a b_2)v)^n u \in \overline{b_2 A b_2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$c_1 v u b_2 = 0$$

- (8) $b_1 a = 0$.

Then $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$

Proof. The proof is the similar to that of Lemma 5.2 of [2], but with $c_3 = b_3 = 0$.

Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ for the time being, and note that

$$1_A = c_1 + (b_1 - c_1) + b_2.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} a_{3,1} &= b_2 a c_1 \\ a_{3,2} &= b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) \\ a_{3,3} &= b_2 a b_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (1) and (8),

$$a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + a_{3,3} = b_2 a (c_1 + (b_1 - c_1) + b_2) = b_2 a (b_1 + b_2) = b_2 a = (b_1 + b_2) a = a.$$

Note that

$$a_{3,3} = u^{-1} a'_{3,3} v^{-1},$$

where

$$a'_{3,3} := u a_{3,3} v = u(b_2 a b_2)v$$

is nilpotent (by 7).

Put

$$t_0 = u^{-1}(a'_{3,3} + \varepsilon 1_A)v^{-1}.$$

Then t_0 is invertible and

$$(4.3) \quad \|t_0 - a_{3,3}\| = \|\varepsilon u^{-1}v^{-1}\| = \varepsilon.$$

Using (4.1), write

$$t_0^{-1} = v\left(\frac{1_A}{\varepsilon} - \frac{a'_{3,3}}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{(a'_{3,3})^2}{\varepsilon^3} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(a'_{3,3})^{n-1}}{\varepsilon^n} + \cdots\right)u = t_2 + \frac{vu}{\varepsilon},$$

where, by (4.2),

$$t_2 := -\frac{va'_{3,3}u}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{v(a'_{3,3})^2u}{\varepsilon^3} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{v(a'_{3,3})^{n-1}u}{\varepsilon^n} + \cdots \in \overline{b_2Ab_2}.$$

(Note that the series above are eventually zero.) Therefore, together with

$$c_1b_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_1vub_2 = 0,$$

one has

$$(4.4) \quad a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}a_{3,1} = b_2ac_1\left(t_2 + \frac{vu}{\varepsilon}\right)b_2ac_1 = 0$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}a_{3,2} = b_2ac_1\left(t_2 + \frac{vu}{\varepsilon}\right)b_2a(b_1 - c_1) = 0.$$

Therefore, by (4.4), one has

$$(4.6) \quad (a_{3,1} + t_0)t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}) = a_{3,1}t_0^{-1} - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}a_{3,1}t_0^{-1} + 1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1} = 1_A$$

and

$$t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1})(a_{3,1} + t_0) = t_0^{-1}a_{3,1} + 1_A - t_0^{-1}a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}a_{3,1} - t_0^{-1}a_{3,1} = 1_A.$$

In particular, the element $(a_{3,1} + t_0)$ is invertible with

$$(a_{3,1} + t_0)^{-1} = t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1}).$$

Then, together with (4.5), one has

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1})(a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + t_0) &= (a_{3,1} + t_0)^{-1}(a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + t_0) \\ &= 1_A + (a_{3,1} + t_0)^{-1}a_{3,2} \\ &= 1_A + t_0^{-1}(1 - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1})a_{3,2} \\ &= 1_A + t_0^{-1}a_{3,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} t_1 &:= 1_A + t_0^{-1}a_{3,2} \\ &= t_0^{-1}(t_0 + a_{3,2}) \\ &= t_0^{-1}(u^{-1}(a'_{3,3} + \varepsilon 1_A)v^{-1} + u^{-1}a'_{3,2}v^{-1}) \\ &= t_0^{-1}u^{-1}(a'_{3,3} + a'_{3,2} + \varepsilon 1_A)v^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a'_{3,2} = ua_{3,2}v = u(b_2a(b_1 - c_1))v.$$

Since

$$a'_{3,3} + a'_{3,2} = u((b_2ab_2) + b_2a(b_1 - c_1))v$$

is nilpotent, one has that t_1 is invertible.

Put

$$y = (a_{3,1} + t_0)t_1.$$

Since $a_{3,1} + t_0$ and t_1 are invertible, one has

$$y \in \text{GL}(A).$$

Applying (4.6) in the third step, (4.7) in the fifth step, definition of t_1 (see (4.8)) in the sixth step, and (4.3) in the last step, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - y\| &= \|a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + a_{3,3} - (a_{3,1} + t_0)t_1\| \\ &\leq \|a_{3,3} - t_0\| + \|1_A(a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + t_0) - (a_{3,1} + t_0)t_1\| \\ &\leq \|a_{3,3} - t_0\| + \|(a_{3,1} + t_0)t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1})(a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + t_0) - (a_{3,1} + t_0)t_1\| \\ &\leq \|a_{3,3} - t_0\| + \|a_{3,1} + t_0\| \|t_0^{-1}(1_A - a_{3,1}t_0^{-1})(a_{3,1} + a_{3,2} + t_0) - t_1\| \\ &= \|a_{3,3} - t_0\| + \|a_{3,1} + t_0\| \|1_A + t_0^{-1}a_{3,2} - t_1\| \\ &= \|a_{3,3} - t_0\| = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, one has $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$, as desired. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$ be an extendable order zero c.p.c map. Set*

$$h = \phi(1_n) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and set

$$b_2 = f_\delta(h), \quad b_1 = 1 - b_2, \quad c_2 = f_{\delta/2}(h), \quad c_1 = 1 - f_{\delta/2}(h),$$

for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$.

Then, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leq n$ and for some

$$1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n,$$

there are unitaries $u, v \in A$ satisfying the following properties:

- (1) $c_1vub_2 = 0$, and
- (2) if $a \in \overline{c_2Ac_2}$ satisfies

$$e_ia e_j = 0, \quad \text{if } j - i > d,$$

for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d < m$, and

$$e_{i_j}a = ae_{i_j} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Then uav is nilpotent. Moreover, if $a \in \overline{b_2Ab_2}$, then

$$v(uav)^k u \in \overline{b_2Ab_2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. With the given m and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m , define the permutation

$$\sigma : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

by stretching $\{1, 2, \dots, n-m\}$ to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$, and then moves $\{n-m+1, \dots, n\}$ to fill $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$. More precisely, write

$$\begin{cases} I_0 = \{1 \leq i \leq n-m : i < i_1\}, \\ I_1 = \{1 \leq i \leq n-m : i_1 \leq i, i+1 < i_2\}, \\ \dots \\ I_{m-1} = \{1 \leq i \leq n-m : i_{m-1} \leq i+m-2, i+m-1 < i_m\}, \\ I_m = \{1 \leq i \leq n-m : i_m \leq i+m-1\}, \end{cases}$$

and note that $\{1, 2, \dots, n-m\} = I_0 \sqcup I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_m$ (some of I_k , $k = 1, \dots, m$, might be empty). Then

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} i+k, & \text{if } i \in I_k, \\ i_{i-n+m}, & n-m+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(4.9) \quad \sigma(j) - \sigma(i) > d, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-m \text{ and } j-i > d.$$

Since ϕ is extendable, by Lemma 3.4, there is a unitary $w_1 \in A$ such that

$$[w_1, h] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_1^* e_i w_1 = e_{\sigma(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By Lemma 3.4 again, there is a unitary $w_2 \in A$ satisfying

$$[w_2, h] = 0$$

and

$$w_2^* e_i w_2 = \begin{cases} e_{i+n-m}, & 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ e_{i-m}, & m+1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then, the unitaries

$$u := w_2 w_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v := w_1^*$$

satisfy the property of the lemma.

Indeed, since w_1 and w_2 commute with h and $c_1 b_2 = 0$, one has that

$$c_1(vu)b_2 = c_1(w_1^* w_2 w_1) b_2 = (w_1^* w_2 w_1)(c_1 b_2) = 0.$$

Let $a \in \overline{c_2 A c_2}$ satisfy

$$e_i a e_j = 0, \quad \text{if } j-i > d$$

for some natural number $d < m$, and

$$e_{i_j} a = a e_{i_j} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Consider the element $w_1 a w_1^*$. Note that, for any $n-m+1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sigma(i) \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}.$$

Hence

$$(4.10) \quad e_i(w_1 a w_1^*) = w_1(e_{\sigma(i)} a) w_1^* = 0, \quad n-m+1 \leq i \leq n$$

and

$$(4.11) \quad (w_1aw_1^*)e_i = w_1(ae_{\sigma(i)})w_1^* = 0, \quad n - m + 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Also note that, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n - m$ satisfying $j - i > d$, by (4.9), one has that $\sigma(j) - \sigma(i) > d$, and hence

$$e_i(w_1aw_1^*)e_j = w_1(e_{\sigma(i)}ae_{\sigma(j)})w_1^* = 0.$$

Together with (4.10) and (4.11), one has

$$(4.12) \quad e_i(w_1aw_1^*)e_j = 0, \quad j - i > d, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Consider the element $uav = w_2w_1aw_1^*$, and note that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ with $j \geq i$,

- if $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $n - m + 1 \leq i + n - m \leq n$, and by (4.10),

$$e_i(w_2w_1aw_1^*)e_j = w_2(e_{i+n-m}w_1aw_1^*)e_j = 0;$$

- if $m + 1 \leq i \leq n$, then $j - (i - m) \geq m > d$, and hence, by (4.12),

$$e_i(w_2w_1aw_1^*)e_j = w_2(e_{i-m}w_1aw_1^*)e_j = 0.$$

That is,

$$(4.13) \quad e_i(uav)e_j = 0, \quad j \geq i.$$

Consider

$$\tilde{e}_i := f_{\frac{\delta}{4}}(e_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and it follows from (4.13) that

$$\tilde{e}_i(uav)\tilde{e}_j = 0, \quad j \geq i.$$

Since $uav \in \overline{c_2Ac_2}$, one has

$$uav = (\tilde{e}_1 + \dots + \tilde{e}_n)uav(\tilde{e}_1 + \dots + \tilde{e}_n) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{e}_i(uav)\tilde{e}_j = \sum_{i>j} \tilde{e}_i(uav)\tilde{e}_j.$$

That is, there is a decomposition

$$uav = \sum_{i>j} a_{i,j}^{(1)},$$

where $a_{i,j}^{(1)} \in \overline{\tilde{e}_iA\tilde{e}_j}$. A direct calculation shows that

$$(uav)^2 = \sum_{i>k>j} a_{i,k}a_{k,j} = \sum_{i>k-1} a_{i,j}^{(2)},$$

where $a_{i,j}^{(2)} \in \overline{\tilde{e}_iA\tilde{e}_j}$.

Repeating n times, one has $(uav)^n = 0$. Hence uav is nilpotent.

If, moreover, $a \in \overline{b_2Ab_2}$, since u and v commute with h (and hence commute with b_2), one has

$$v(uav)^k u \in v(u(\overline{b_2Ab_2})v)^k u \subseteq \overline{b_2Ab_2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra, and let $a \in A$. If there exist an extendable order zero c.p.c. map $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$, natural numbers $d < m$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$, such that*

- (1) $(1 - h)a = 0$, where $h = \phi(1_n)$,
- (2) $e_{i_j}a = ae_{i_j} = 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where $e_i = \phi(e_{i,i})$, and
- (3) $e_i a e_j = 0$, if $j - i > d$.

Then $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$.

Proof. Pick an arbitrary $\delta \in (0, 1)$, and consider

$$b_2 = f_\delta(h), \quad c_2 = f_{\delta/2}(h), \quad b_1 = 1 - f_\delta(h), \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 = 1 - f_{\delta/2}(h).$$

Note that

$$c_2 b_2 = b_2, \quad b_1 c_1 = c_1, \quad \text{and} \quad c_1 b_2 = 0.$$

Since $(1 - h)a = 0$, one has $a = ha$, and

$$b_2 a = f_\delta(h)a = f_\delta(1)a = a.$$

Hence $b_1 a = 0$.

Consider the elements

$$b_2 a b_2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2,$$

and note that both of them are in $\overline{c_2 A c_2}$. Also note that (since h commutes with e_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

$$e_i b_2 a b_2 e_j = b_2 e_i a e_j b_2 = 0, \quad j - i > d$$

and

$$e_{i_j} (b_2 a b_2) = b_2 e_{i_j} a b_2 = 0 = b_2 a e_{i_j} b_2 = (b_2 a b_2) e_{i_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

and the same argument shows that

$$e_i (b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2) e_j = 0, \quad j - i > d,$$

and

$$e_{i_j} (b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2) = 0 = (b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2) e_{i_j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let $u, v \in A$ be the unitaries obtained by applying Lemma 4.2 to ϕ , δ , m and i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m . Then, by Lemma 4.2, one has

$$c_1 v u b_2 = 0,$$

$$u (b_2 a b_2) v \quad \text{and} \quad u (b_2 a (b_1 - c_1) + b_2 a b_2) v$$

are nilpotent, and

$$v (u b_2 a b_2 v)^n u \in \overline{b_2 A b_2}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then, by Lemma 4.1, one has $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$, as desired. \square

5. PROPERTY (D) AND STABLE RANK ONE

Definition 5.1. Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. An element $a \in A$ is said to be a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator if there exists a nonzero positive element $b \in A$ satisfying

$$ba = ab = 0,$$

and there exists an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{pq}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exist $r, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, with

$$e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, pq,$$

$$s_k := e_{(k-1)p+1} + \dots + e_{(k-1)p+r}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q,$$

and

$$E_k := e_{(k-1)p+1} + \dots + e_{(k-1)p+p}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q,$$

one has

- (1) $E_{k_1} a E_{k_2} = 0$, $k_2 - k_1 \geq l$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q$;
- (2) $qr > (l+1)p$;
- (3) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$, there are positive elements c_k, d_k with norm 1 such that
 - (a) $c_k, d_k \in \overline{bAb}$,
 - (b) $c_k \perp s_k$ and $c_k \perp d_k$,
 - (c) $c_k E_k = c_k$ and $d_k E_k = d_k$, and
 - (d) $s_k \preceq c_k$ and $1_A - h \preceq d_k$, where $h := \phi(1_{pq})$.

Recall that

Definition 5.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra, then, define

$$\text{ZD}(A) := \{a \in A : d_1 a = a d_2 = 0 \text{ for some } d_1, d_2 \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

And define

Definition 5.3. The C^* -algebra A is said to have Property (D) if for any $a \in \text{ZD}(A)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in A$ and a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator $a' \in A$ such that $\|u_1 a u_2 - a'\| < \varepsilon$.

It turns out that any \mathcal{D}_0 -operator is in the norm closure of invertible elements.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $a \in A$ be a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator of a unital C^* -algebra A . Then $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$.*

Proof. Let a be a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator. Then there is $b \in A^+ \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad ba = ab = 0;$$

and there exists an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi' : M_{pq}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A,$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and there exist $r, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, with

$$e'_i := \phi'(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, pq,$$

$$s_k := e'_{(k-1)p+1} + \cdots + e'_{(k-1)p+r}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q,$$

and

$$E_k := e'_{(k-1)p+1} + \cdots + e'_{(k-1)p+p}, \quad k = 1, \dots, q,$$

one has

- (1) $E_{k_1} a E_{k_2} = 0$, $k_2 - k_1 \geq l$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q$;
- (2) $qr > (l+1)p$;
- (3) there are positive elements c_k, d_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$, with norm 1 such that
 - (a) $c_k, d_k \in \overline{bAb}$,
 - (b) $c_k \perp s_k$ and $c_k \perp d_k$,
 - (c) $c_k E_k = c_k$ and $d_k E_k = d_k$, and
 - (d) $s_k \preceq c_k$ and $1_A - h' \preceq d_k$, where $h' := \phi'(1_{pq})$.

With above, one asserts that there exist an extendable order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{pq}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

and unitaries $u, w \in A$ such that, with

$$h := \phi(1_{pq}) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, pq,$$

then

- $(1_A - h)(uw^* a u^*) = 0$,
- $e_i(uw^* a u^*) e_j = 0$, $j - i > (l+1)q$, and
- there are $e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_{qr}}$ such that

$$e_{i_j}(uw^* a u^*) = (uw^* a u^*) e_{i_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, qr.$$

It then follows from Proposition 4.3 (with $d = (l+1)p$ and $m = qr$) and Condition 2 that

$$uw^* a u^* \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}.$$

Since u, w are unitaries, one has that $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$, and the proposition follows.

Let us show the assertion. By Condition 3a and (5.1)

$$(5.2) \quad c_k a = a c_k = d_k a = a d_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$

Consider the positive element $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h')$, and note that $1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h') \preceq 1_A - h'$. Then, by Condition 3d, one has

$$1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h') \preceq d_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q,$$

and therefore, by Proposition 2.4(iv) of [23] (see Lemma 2.10), there are

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q \in A$$

such that

$$(5.3) \quad v_k^* v_k = f_{\frac{1}{2}}(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h')) \quad \text{and} \quad v_k v_k^* \in \text{Her}(d_k) \subseteq \text{Her}(b), \quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$

It follows from Condition 3c that $f_{\frac{1}{2}}(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h')) \perp d_k$; then, using Condition 3c again, one has

$$f_{\frac{1}{2}}(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h')) \perp v_k v_k^* \quad \text{and} \quad v_k v_k^* \in \text{Her}(E_k), \quad 1 \leq k \leq q,$$

and hence

$$v_1^*v_1, v_1v_1^*, v_2v_2^*, \dots, v_qv_q^*$$

are mutually orthogonal. Applying Lemma 3.3 to v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q , one obtains the unitary $w \in A$ which satisfies the properties of Lemma 3.3.

By Condition 3d,

$$(5.4) \quad s_k \precsim c_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, q.$$

Since $s_k, c_k \in \overline{E_k A E_k}$, one may assume that the Cuntz sub-equivalences (5.4) hold in the hereditary sub-C*-algebra $\overline{E_k A E_k}$. By Proposition 2.4(iv) of [23] (see Lemma 2.10), there is $z_k \in \overline{E_k A E_k}$ such that

$$(5.5) \quad z_k^*z_k = f_{\frac{1}{8}}(s_k) \quad \text{and} \quad z_kz_k^* \in \text{Her}(c_k) \subseteq \text{Her}(b).$$

Note that, by Condition (3c),

$$(5.6) \quad z_k \in \overline{f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k) A f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k)}.$$

By Condition 3b,

$$z_k^*z_k = f_{\frac{1}{8}}(s_k) \perp \overline{c_k A c_k} \ni z_kz_k^*.$$

Since $f_{\frac{1}{8}}(s_k)f_{\frac{1}{4}}(s_k) = f_{\frac{1}{4}}(s_k)$, applying Lemma 3.2 to $v = z_k$, one has that, with

$$(5.7) \quad u_k := \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(z_kz_k^* + z_k^*z_k)\right) + z_k^*g(z_kz_k^*) - z_kg(z_k^*z_k),$$

where $g(t) = \sin(\pi t/2)/\sqrt{t}$, $t \in (0, 1]$, then $u_k \in C^*(z_k, 1)$ is a unitary such that

$$u_k^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(s_k)u_k \in \text{Her}(c_k) \subseteq \text{Her}(b).$$

By (5.6), one has that $u_k \in \overline{f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k) A f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k)} + \mathbb{C}1_A$ and hence

$$u_k^*E_ku_k \in \overline{E_k A E_k},$$

$$u_k^*au_k = a, \quad a \in \overline{E_{k'} A E_{k'}}, \quad k \neq k'.$$

$$(5.8) \quad u_k^*f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k)u_k \in \overline{f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k) A f_{\frac{1}{8}}(E_k)} \quad \text{and} \quad [u_k, f_{\frac{1}{16}}(E_k)] = 0.$$

In particular, with

$$u := \prod_{k=1}^q u_k,$$

one has

$$(5.9) \quad u^*E_ku \in \overline{E_k A E_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q,$$

$$(5.10) \quad [u, f_{\frac{1}{16}}(E_k)] = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q,$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(s_k)u \in \text{Her}(c_k) \subseteq \text{Her}(b), \quad 1 \leq k \leq q.$$

Consider the positive element $1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h')$, and note that

$$(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))v_1^*v_1 = (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))f_{\frac{1}{2}}(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{2}}(h')) = 1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h').$$

It follows from Lemma 3.3(3) that

$$(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))w^* \in \overline{A(v_1v_1^*)} \subseteq \overline{Ad_1}$$

and therefore, by (5.2),

$$(5.12) \quad (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))w^*a = 0.$$

Since $v_1^*v_1, E_k \in C^*(e'_1, \dots, e'_{pq})$, which is commutative, together with Condition 3c and (5.3), one has

$$[v_1^*v_1, E_k] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (v_kv_k^*)E_k = v_kv_k^*, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

It then follows from Lemma 3.3(1) that

$$E_kw^* \in \overline{A(E_1 + E_k + E_{k+1})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, q-1,$$

and hence, by Condition 1, for any $k_2 - k_1 \geq l + 1$ where $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q$, one has that $E_{k_1}w^*aE_{k_2} = 0$, and then, by (5.9),

$$E_{k_1}(uw^*au^*)E_{k_2} = u(u^*E_{k_1}u)w^*a(u^*E_{k_2}u)u^* \in \overline{uE_{k_1}AE_{k_1}w^*aE_{k_2}AE_{k_2}u^*} = \{0\}.$$

In particular,

$$(5.13) \quad f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_1})(uw^*au^*)f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_2}) = 0, \quad k_2 - k_1 \geq l + 1.$$

Consider

$$c := \sum_{k=1}^q c_k.$$

Note that $c \in \overline{bAb}$. By Condition 3c, one has that $ch' = c$; in particular, $[c, h'] = 0$ and hence, by (5.3), $[c, v_1^*v_1] = 0$. Also note $c \perp v_qv_q^* \in \text{Her}(d_q)$. Then, it follows from Lemma 3.3(2) (with c in the place of d and \overline{bAb} in the place of D) that

$$(5.14) \quad cw^* \in \overline{A(\overline{bAb})}.$$

By (5.11), for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$, one has

$$(5.15) \quad u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u \leq u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(s_k)u \in \text{Her}(c_k) \subseteq \overline{cAc} \subseteq \overline{bAb}.$$

Then, together with (5.14),

$$(u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u)w^* \in \overline{(cAc)w^*} \subseteq \overline{cAcw^*} \subseteq \overline{A(\overline{bAb})}.$$

Hence

$$f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{n(k-1)+i})(uw^*au^*) = u((u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u)w^*)au^* \in \overline{uA(\overline{bAb})au^*} = \{0\};$$

and, on the other hand, by (5.15),

$$(uw^*au^*)f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}) = uw^*(a(u^*f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u))u^* \in uw^*(a(\overline{bAb}))u^* = \{0\}.$$

That is, for any $k = 1, \dots, q$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$,

$$(5.16) \quad f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})(uw^*au^*) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (uw^*au^*)f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}) = 0.$$

Let us show that

$$(5.17) \quad u^*(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))uw^*a = 0.$$

By (5.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad & u^*(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))u \\
&= 1_A - u^* \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u \\
&= (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) + f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h') - u^* \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u \\
&= (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) + \sum_{k=1}^q f_{\frac{1}{16}}(E_k) - u^* \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})u \\
&= (1 - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) + u^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^q f_{\frac{1}{16}}(E_k) - \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}) \right) u \\
&= (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) + \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p u_k^* (f_{\frac{1}{16}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}) - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i})) u_k \\
&= (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) + \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p u_k^* \lambda_{k,i} u_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_{k,i} := f_{\frac{1}{16}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}) - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(e'_{(k-1)p+i}), \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Consider the elements

$$(u_k^* \lambda_{k,i} u_k) w^* a, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

If $i = r + 1, \dots, p$, then, by (5.5),

$$z_k \lambda_{k,i} = \lambda_{k,i} z_k = 0;$$

and hence, by (5.7),

$$[u_k, \lambda_{k,i}] = 0.$$

Since $\lambda_{k,i} \subseteq \text{Her}(1 - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h'))$, together with (5.12), one has

$$(u_k^* \lambda_{k,i} u_k) w^* a = \lambda_{k,i} w^* a = 0.$$

If $i = 1, \dots, r$, then, by (5.7),

$$\lambda_{k,i} u_k w^* a = \lambda_{k,i} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(z_k z_k^* + z_k^* z_k)\right) w^* a + \lambda_{k,i} z_k^* g(z_k z_k^*) w^* a - \lambda_{k,i} z_k g(z_k^* z_k) w^* a.$$

By (5.5) and (5.14),

$$\lambda_{k,i} z_k^* g(z_k z_k^*) w^* a \in \lambda_{k,i} z_k^* (\overline{cAc}) w^* a = \{0\}.$$

Using (5.14) again, one has $\lambda_{k,i} z_k = 0$, and hence

$$\lambda_{k,i} z_k g(z_k^* z_k) w^* a = 0.$$

Since $[\lambda_{k,i}, z_k^* z_k] = 0$ (by (5.14)),

$$\lambda_{k,i} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} z_k^* z_k\right)^{2n} \in \text{Her}(\lambda_{k,i}) \subseteq \text{Her}(1 - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h')), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and therefore, by (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{k,i} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(z_k z_k^* + z_k^* z_k)\right) w^* a &= \lambda_{k,i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}(z_k z_k^* + z_k^* z_k)\right)^{2n} w^* a \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \lambda_{k,i} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} z_k^* z_k\right)^{2n} w^* a \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\lambda_{k,i} u_k w^* a = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

and hence

$$(5.19) \quad (u_k^* \lambda_{k,i} u_k) w^* a = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, q, \quad i = 1, \dots, p.$$

Then, together with by (5.18) and (5.12),

$$u^*(1_A - f_{\frac{1}{4}}(h')) u w^* a = (1_A - f_{\frac{1}{16}}(h')) w^* a + \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^p u_k^* \lambda_{k,i} u_k w^* a = 0,$$

and this proves (5.17).

Therefore, with

$$\phi := f_{\frac{1}{4}}(\phi') \quad \text{and} \quad h := \phi(1_{pq}),$$

by (5.17), one that,

$$(1_A - h)(u w^* a u^*) = 0.$$

Set

$$e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, pq.$$

For any e_i, e_j , $j - i > (l + 1)p$, there are $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq q$ with $k_2 - k_1 \geq l + 1$ such that

$$e_i \leq f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad e_j \leq f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_2}).$$

Then it follows from 5.13 that

$$e_i (u w^* a u^*) e_j \in \overline{f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_1}) A f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_1}) u w^* a u^* f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_2}) A f_{\frac{1}{4}}(E_{k_2})} = \{0\}.$$

Set

$$\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{rq}\} = \{(k - 1)p + i : k = 1, \dots, q, \quad i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Then it follows from (5.16) that

$$e_{i_j} (u(w^* a) u^*) = (u(w^* a) u^*) e_{i_j} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, rq,$$

as desired. Finally, it is clear that ϕ is extendable. This proves that ϕ satisfies the assertion, and hence the proposition follows. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra which has Property (D). Then*

$$\text{ZD}(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A)}.$$

In particular, if A is finite, then $A = \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$ (in other words, $\text{tsr}(A) = 1$).

Proof. Let $a \in \text{ZD}(A)$, and fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ for the time being. Since A has the Property (D), there exist unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in A$ and a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator $a' \in A$ such that

$$\|u_1 a u_2 - a'\| < \varepsilon.$$

By Proposition 5.4, one has that $a' \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$. Since u_1, u_2 are unitaries, it follows that

$$u_1^* a' u_2^* \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)},$$

and hence

$$\text{dist}(a, \overline{\text{GL}(A)}) < \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, one has that $a \in \overline{\text{GL}(A)}$, and therefore

$$(5.20) \quad \text{ZD}(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{GL}(A)}.$$

If, moreover, the C^* -algebra A is finite, by Proposition 3.2 of [22],

$$A \setminus \text{GL}(A) \subseteq \overline{\text{ZD}(A)};$$

and then, together with (5.20),

$$A = \text{GL}(A) \cup (A \setminus \text{GL}(A)) \subseteq \text{GL}(A) \cup \overline{\text{ZD}(A)} \subseteq \text{GL}(A) \cup \overline{\text{GL}(A)} = \overline{\text{GL}(A)},$$

as desired. □

6. NON-INVERTIBLE ELEMENTS AND ZERO DIVISORS OF $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$

In the following two sections, let us show that the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has Property (D) if (X, Γ) has the (URP) and (COS). Since $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is finite, this shows that $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has stable rank one by Theorem 5.5.

Recall that if B is a sub- C^* -algebra of A , a conditional expectation from A to B is a completely positive linear contraction $\mathbb{E} : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}(b) = b, \quad \mathbb{E}(ba) = b\mathbb{E}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}(ab) = \mathbb{E}(a)b, \quad b \in B, a \in A.$$

If (X, Γ) is a free dynamical system, and if $\mathbb{E} : C(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow C(X)$ is a conditional expectation, where $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is a crossed-product C^* -algebra. Then

$$\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma) = 0, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}.$$

Indeed, let $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ and consider $\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma) \in C(X)$. Note that for all $g \in C(X)$, since $u_\gamma^* g u_\gamma \in C(X)$, one has

$$g\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma) = \mathbb{E}(g u_\gamma) = \mathbb{E}(u_\gamma u_\gamma^* g u_\gamma) = \mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(u_\gamma^* g u_\gamma).$$

Assume $\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma) \neq 0$. Then there is $x_0 \in X$ such that $\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(x_0) \neq 0$. Since (X, Γ) is free, one has $x_0 \neq x_0 \gamma^{-1}$. Pick $g \in C(X)$ such that $g(x_0) = 1$ and $g(x_0 \gamma^{-1}) = 0$. Then

$$g(x_0)\mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(x_0) = \mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(x_0) \neq 0 = \mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(x_0)g(x_0 \gamma^{-1}) = \mathbb{E}(u_\gamma)(x_0)(u_\gamma^* g u_\gamma)(x_0),$$

which is a contradiction.

If Γ is amenable, then a conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : C(X) \rtimes \Gamma \rightarrow C(X)$ always exists, and is not only unique (see above) but also faithful (see, for instance, Proposition 4.1.9 of [4]).

Lemma 6.1. *Let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal topological dynamical system, where Γ is a countable discrete group and X is a compact Hausdorff space. Denote by $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ a crossed-product C^* -algebra, and assume there is a faithful conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : A \rightarrow C(X)$.*

Let $a \in A$ such that $ba = 0$ for some non-zero positive element b . Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is unitary $u \in A$ and a (non-empty) open set $E \subseteq X$ such that

$$\|\varphi_{Eua}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Since \mathbb{E} is faithful, without loss of generality, one may assume

$$\|a\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbb{E}(b)\| = 1.$$

Pick $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that if $\|ca\| < \varepsilon'$ for some positive element c with $\|c\| \leq (\|b\| + 1)^2$, then $\|c^{\frac{1}{2}}a\| < \varepsilon/(\|b\| + 1)$. Pick $\varepsilon'' \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(\|b\| + \varepsilon'')\varepsilon'' < \varepsilon',$$

and pick $b' \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$ such that

$$\|b - b'\| < \varepsilon''.$$

Since $\|\mathbb{E}(b)\| = 1$, one may assume that $\|\mathbb{E}(b')\| = 1$.

Write

$$b' = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} f_\gamma u_\gamma$$

for a finite set $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ with $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_0^{-1}$, where $f_\gamma \in C(X)$. Since $\mathbb{E}(b') = f_e$, one has that $\|f_e\| = 1$, and then there is $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$|f_e(x_0)| = 1.$$

Pick a neighbourhood U of x_0 such that $\overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} U\gamma} \neq X$, and pick an open set $W \neq X$ such that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} U\gamma} \subseteq W.$$

Therefore, there is a continuous function $\varphi_W : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \varphi_W^{-1}((0, 1]) = W \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} U\gamma \subseteq \varphi_W^{-1}(1).$$

Pick a continuous function $\varphi_U : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that

$$(6.2) \quad \varphi_U^{-1}((0, 1]) = U \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_U(x_0) = 1.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
b' \varphi_U(b')^* &= \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} f_\gamma u_\gamma \right) \varphi_U \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} f_\gamma u_\gamma \right)^* \\
&= \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} f_\gamma u_\gamma \right) \varphi_U \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} u_\gamma^* \overline{f_\gamma} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0} f_{\gamma'} u_{\gamma'} \varphi_U u_\gamma^* \overline{f_\gamma} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0} f_{\gamma'} (\overline{f_\gamma} \circ (\gamma' \gamma^{-1})) (\varphi_U \circ \gamma') u_{\gamma' \gamma^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (6.1),

$$(6.3) \quad \varphi_W(b' \varphi_U(b')^*) = b' \varphi_U(b')^*.$$

Also note that, by (6.2),

$$\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)(x_0) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} |f_\gamma(x_0)|^2 \varphi_U(x_0 \gamma) \geq |f_e(x_0)|^2 = 1;$$

and in particular,

$$(6.4) \quad \|\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)\| \geq 1.$$

Set

$$b'' = \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)\|} b' \varphi_U(b')^*.$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}(b'') = \frac{1}{\left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} |f_\gamma|^2 \varphi_U \right\|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} |f_\gamma|^2 \varphi_{U\gamma}.$$

So there is $y_0 \in X$ so that $\mathbb{E}(b'')(y_0) = 1$. By perturbing f_γ , $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$, and φ_U to be locally constant around y_0 , there is an open neighbourhood $V \ni y_0$ such that

$$(6.5) \quad \mathbb{E}(b'')(x) = \mathbb{E}(b'')(y_0) = 1, \quad x \in V.$$

Moreover, since (X, Γ) is free, one may choose V small enough so that

$$(6.6) \quad V \cap V\gamma = \emptyset, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_0^2 \setminus \{e\},$$

and since the action is minimal (so any orbit is dense), by choosing V even smaller, there is $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ such that

$$(6.7) \quad V\gamma_0 \cap W = \emptyset.$$

Note that, by (6.4) and since b is positive,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|b''a\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)\|} b' \varphi_U(b')^* a \right\| \\
&= \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)\|} \|b' \varphi_U(b')^* a\| \\
&\approx_{(\|b\| + \varepsilon'')^{\varepsilon''}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbb{E}(b' \varphi_U(b')^*)\|} \|b' \varphi_U b a\| = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$(6.8) \quad \|b''a\| \leq (\|b\| + \varepsilon'')\varepsilon'' < \varepsilon'.$$

Since

$$(6.9) \quad \|b''\| \leq \|b'\|^2 \leq (\|b\| + 1)^2,$$

by the choice of ε' , one has

$$(6.10) \quad \left\| (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}}a \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|b\| + 1}.$$

Now, choose a continuous function $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $h^{-1}((0, 1]) \subseteq V$, and $f^{-1}(1)$ contains a neighbourhood of y_0 . Note that $\|h\| = 1$.

By (6.6),

$$hu_\gamma h = 0, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma_0^2 \setminus \{e\},$$

and hence, writing

$$b'' = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0^2} c_\gamma u_\gamma,$$

together with (6.5), one has

$$(6.11) \quad hb''h = h\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0^2} c_\gamma u_\gamma\right)h = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0^2} c_\gamma hu_\gamma h = c_e h^2 = \mathbb{E}(b'')h^2 = h^2.$$

By (6.7), one has $u_{\gamma_0} h u_{\gamma_0}^* \perp \varphi_W$ and hence, by (6.3),

$$(6.12) \quad u_{\gamma_0} h u_{\gamma_0}^* \perp b''.$$

Consider

$$v := u_{\gamma_0} h (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then

$$vv^* = u_{\gamma_0} h b'' h u_{\gamma_0}^* = u_{\gamma_0} h^2 u_{\gamma_0}^*$$

and

$$v^*v = (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Pick an open set E such that

$$(6.13) \quad \varphi_E v v^* = \varphi_E (u_{\gamma_0} h^2 u_{\gamma_0}^*) = \varphi_E.$$

(Such E exists because h is constantly 1 in a small neighbourhood of y_0 .)

By (6.12), $vv^* \perp v^*v$. By Lemma 3.2 and (6.13), there is a unitary $u \in A$ such that

$$(u^* \varphi_E u) (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} = (u^* \varphi_E u) v^* v = u^* \varphi_E u.$$

Therefore, by (6.9), (6.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_E u a\| &= \|u(u^* \varphi_E u) a\| = \left\| u(u^* \varphi_E u) (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} h^2 (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} a \right\| \\ &\leq (\|b\| + 1) \left\| (b'')^{\frac{1}{2}} a \right\| \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal topological dynamical system, where Γ is a countable discrete group and X is a compact Hausdorff space. Denote by $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ a crossed-product C^* -algebra. Assume A is finite and there is a faithful conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : A \rightarrow C(X)$.*

Let $a \in A$ be a non-invertible element. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $b \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$, a (non-empty) open set $E \subseteq X$, and unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in A$ such that

$$\|u_1 a u_2 - b\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_E b = b \varphi_E = 0.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, one may assume that $\|a\| = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since a is not invertible and A is finite, by Proposition 3.2 of [22], there are $a' \in A$ and nonzero $b_1, b_2 \in A^+$ such that

$$\|a'\| = 1, \quad \|a - a'\| < \varepsilon/5, \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 a' = 0 = a' b_2.$$

By Lemma 6.1, there are unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in A$ and open sets $E', F' \subseteq X$ such that

$$\|\varphi_{E'} u_1 a'\| < \varepsilon/5 \quad \text{and} \quad \|a' u_2 \varphi_{F'}\| < \varepsilon/5.$$

Since (X, Γ) is minimal (so any orbit is dense), by passing to smaller open sets and changing the unitary u_2 , one may assume that $E' = F'$.

Pick $a'' \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$ such that

$$\|u_1 a' u_2 - a''\| < \varepsilon/5,$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 a u_2 &\approx_{\varepsilon/5} u_1 a' u_2 \\ &= \varphi_{E'} u_1 a' u_2 \varphi_{E'} + (1 - \varphi_{E'}) u_1 a' u_2 \varphi_{E'} + \\ &\quad \varphi_{E'} u_1 a' u_2 (1 - \varphi_{E'}) + (1 - \varphi_{E'}) u_1 a' u_2 (1 - \varphi_{E'}) \\ &\approx_{3\varepsilon/5} (1 - \varphi_{E'}) u_1 a' u_2 (1 - \varphi_{E'}) \\ &\approx_{\varepsilon/5} (1 - \varphi_{E'}) a'' (1 - \varphi_{E'}) \end{aligned}$$

Pick an open set $E \subseteq \varphi_{E'}^{-1}(1)$ (so that $\varphi_E \varphi_{E'} = \varphi_E$), and define

$$b = (1 - \varphi_{E'}) a'' (1 - \varphi_{E'}).$$

Then it is clear that

$$\|u_1 a u_2 - b\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_E b = b \varphi_E = 0,$$

as desired. □

7. STABLE RANK OF $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$

In this section, assuming (X, Γ) has the (URP) and (COS), let us show that the element b obtained in Proposition 6.2 is an \mathcal{D}_0 -operator (Proposition 7.7). Hence the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has Property (D), and has stable rank one by Theorem 5.5.

Let Γ be a discrete amenable group, and let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T$ be finite subsets of Γ . Recall that Γ is said to be tiled by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T$ if there are sets group elements

$$\gamma_{i,n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 1, \dots, T,$$

such that

$$\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^T \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{i,n} \Gamma_i.$$

Note that if Γ_i is (right) $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then its (left) translation $\gamma_{i,n} \Gamma_i$ is also $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant.

Lemma 7.1. *Let Γ be an infinite amenable group, and let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T \subseteq \Gamma$ be finite sets which tile Γ . Let $\delta \in (0, 1]$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that if $F \subseteq \Gamma$ is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then there is $H \subseteq F$ such that H is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T$ with multiplicities divided by n , and*

$$\frac{|H|}{|F|} > 1 - \delta.$$

Proof. Set $K = \Gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_T$, and choose $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$(1 - \delta')(1 - \frac{\delta'}{2}) > \delta.$$

Choose $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ sufficiently large such that if F is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then

$$(7.1) \quad \frac{|\text{int}_K(F)|}{|F|} > 1 - \frac{\delta'}{2}.$$

Since Γ is infinite, one may assume that $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ large enough so that if F is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then

$$(7.2) \quad |F| > \frac{2n(|\Gamma_1| + \dots + |\Gamma_T|)}{(2 - \delta')\delta'}.$$

Then this $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the property of the lemma.

Indeed, let F be an $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant set. Since $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$ tile Γ , by (7.1), there is a set $F' \subseteq F$ such that F' can be tiled by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$ (in fact, F' can be chosen as the union of the tiles which intersect with $\text{int}_K F$) and

$$(7.3) \quad \frac{|F'|}{|F|} > 1 - \frac{\delta'}{2}.$$

Write

$$F' = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_1} \gamma_{1,i} \Gamma_1 \right) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_T} \gamma_{T,i} \Gamma_T \right),$$

where $\gamma_{i,j} \in \Gamma$ and $m_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, T$, are non-negative integers. Note that

$$m_1 |\Gamma_1| + \dots + m_T |\Gamma_T| = |F'|.$$

For each $m_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, T$, consider r_i which is the remainder of m_i divided by n . Then, set

$$H = \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_1 - r_1} \gamma_{1,m_1} \Gamma_1 \right) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{m_T - r_T} \gamma_{T,i} \Gamma_T \right).$$

It is clear that H is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T$ with multiplicities divided by n . Moreover, by (7.3) and (7.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{|H|}{|F'|} &= \frac{r_1 |\Gamma_1| + \dots + r_T |\Gamma_T|}{|F'|} < \frac{n |\Gamma_1| + \dots + n |\Gamma_T|}{|F'|} \\ &< \frac{2n(|\Gamma_1| + \dots + |\Gamma_T|)}{(2 - \delta')} \frac{1}{|F|} < \delta', \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (7.3) again,

$$\frac{|H|}{|F|} > (1 - \delta')(1 - \frac{\delta'}{2}) > 1 - \delta,$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let Γ be an infinite amenable group, and let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T \subseteq \Gamma$ be finite sets which tile Γ . Let $\delta \in (0, 1]$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $K \subseteq \Gamma$ be a finite set. Then, there exists $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that if*

$$F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$$

are mutually disjoint $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant sets and

$$|F_1| = |F_2| = \dots = |F_n|,$$

then there are $H_1 \subseteq F_1, \dots, H_n \subseteq F_n$ such that

$$H_i K \subseteq F_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

each H_i is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T$ with multiplicities divided by n ,

$$|H_1| = |H_2| = \dots = |H_n|,$$

and

$$\frac{|H_i|}{|F_i|} > 1 - \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 7.1 to

$$\frac{\delta}{2(1+T)} \quad \text{and} \quad n |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \dots |\Gamma_T|,$$

one obtains $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$. Choose $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ such that if F is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then $\text{int}_K F$ is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant, and

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{|\text{int}_K F|}{|F|} > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the property of the lemma.

Indeed, let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n be mutually disjoint $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant sets with

$$|F_1| = |F_2| = \dots = |F_n|.$$

Consider the sets

$$\text{int}_K F_1, \text{int}_K F_2, \dots, \text{int}_K F_n.$$

Then each of them is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant. Also note that

$$(\text{int}_K F_i)K \subseteq F_i, \quad (\text{int}_K F_i)K \cap (\text{int}_K F_j) = \emptyset, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j,$$

By Lemma 7.1, there are

$$F'_1 \subseteq \text{int}_K F_1, \quad F'_2 \subseteq \text{int}_K F_2, \dots, \quad F'_n \subseteq \text{int}_K F_n,$$

such that

$$(7.5) \quad \frac{|F'_i|}{|\text{int}_K F_i|} > 1 - \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$(7.6) \quad F'_i = \left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{m_1^{(i)}} \gamma_{1,j}^{(i)} \Gamma_1 \right) \sqcup \dots \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{m_T^{(i)}} \gamma_{T,j}^{(i)} \Gamma_T \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and each $m_t^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, is divided by $n |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T|$.

It follows from (7.4) and (7.5) that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |F_i| - |F'_i| &= (|F_i| - |\text{int}_K(F_i)|) + (|\text{int}_K(F_i)| - |F'_i|) \\ &< \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)} |F_i| + \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)} |\text{int}_K F_i| \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)} |F_i| + \frac{\delta}{2(1+T)} |F_i| \\ &= \frac{\delta}{1+T} |F_i|. \end{aligned}$$

In the decomposition (7.6), if

$$m_t^{(i)} < \frac{\delta}{1+T} |F_i|,$$

then set $m_t^{(i)} = 0$, and denote this possibly smaller new sets still by F'_i . Then, by (7.7), with the set new F'_i , one has

$$(7.8) \quad 0 \leq |F_i| - |F'_i| < \frac{\delta}{1+T} |F_i| + T \frac{\delta}{1+T} |F_i| = \delta |F_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Also note that if $m_t^{(i)} \neq 0$, then

$$(7.9) \quad m_t^{(i)} \geq \frac{\delta}{1+T} |F_i| \geq \delta |F_i|.$$

Set

$$D = \min\{|F'_1|, |F'_2|, \dots, |F'_n|\}.$$

Since $|F'_1|, |F'_2|, \dots, |F'_n|$ are divided by $n |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T|$, there are non-negative integers d_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$|F'_i| - D = d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By (7.8) (and note that $|F_1| = \cdots = |F_n|$),

$$(7.10) \quad \frac{D}{|F_i|} > \frac{|F_i| - \delta |F_i|}{|F_i|} = 1 - \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and so

$$|F'_i| - D \leq |F_i| - D \leq \delta |F_i|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, consider

$$\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_S\} = \left\{ t = 1, 2, \dots, T : m_t^{(i)} \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Then, there are

$$0 \leq c_{t_1}^{(i)}, \dots, c_{t_S}^{(i)} \leq d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| \leq \frac{\delta |F_i|}{n}$$

such that

$$d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| = c_{t_1}^{(i)} |\Gamma_{t_1}| + \cdots + c_{t_S}^{(i)} |\Gamma_{t_S}|.$$

(Actually, one can choose $c_{t_1}^{(i)} = d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| / |\Gamma_{t_1}|$ and $c_{t_s}^{(i)} = 0$, $s = 2, \dots, S$.) Note that, by (7.9),

$$\frac{\delta |F_i|}{n} \leq \frac{m_{t_s}^{(i)}}{n}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, S.$$

For each $t \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_S\}$, set $c_t^{(i)} = 0$. Then, one has that

$$(7.11) \quad 0 \leq c_t^{(i)} \leq d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| \leq \frac{\delta |F_i|}{n} \leq \frac{m_t^{(i)}}{n}, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T,$$

and

$$d_i |\Gamma_1| |\Gamma_2| \cdots |\Gamma_T| = c_1^{(i)} |\Gamma_1| + \cdots + c_T^{(i)} |\Gamma_T|.$$

Put

$$H_i = \left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{m_1^{(i)} - c_1^{(i)} n} \gamma_{1,j}^{(i)} \Gamma_1 \right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{m_T^{(i)} - c_T^{(i)} n} \gamma_{T,j}^{(i)} \Gamma_T \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

(Note that, by (7.11), $m_t^{(i)} - c_t^{(i)} n \geq 0$.) Since each $m_t^{(i)}$ is divisible by n , it is clear that each H_i is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$ with multiplicities divisible by n . Since

$$H_1 \subseteq \text{int}_K F_1, \quad H_2 \subseteq \text{int}_K F_2, \dots, \quad H_n \subseteq \text{int}_K F_n,$$

one has

$$H_1 K \subseteq F_1, \quad H_2 K \subseteq F_2, \dots, \quad H_n K \subseteq F_n,$$

Also note that

$$|H_1| = |H_2| = \cdots = |H_n| = D,$$

and hence, by (7.10),

$$\frac{|H_i|}{|F_i|} > 1 - \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

as desired. □

Lemma 7.3. *Let Γ be an infinite amenable group, and let (X, Γ) be a minimal dynamical system with the (URP). Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary, and let $O_{0,1}, \dots, O_{0,M}, O_{1,1}, \dots, O_{1,M} \subseteq X$ be mutually disjoint non-empty open sets together with*

$$\{\kappa_{0,1}(= e), \kappa_{0,2}, \dots, \kappa_{0,M}, \kappa_{1,1}(= e), \kappa_{1,2}, \dots, \kappa_{1,M}\} \subseteq \Gamma$$

such that

$$O_{i,m} = O_{i,1}\kappa_{i,m}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Put

$$\delta := \min\{\mu(O_{i,m}) : i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)\},$$

and let $K \subseteq \Gamma$ be a symmetric finite set. (Since (X, Γ) is minimal, one has that $\delta > 0$.)

Then, there are $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n > 3$) such that if (B, F) is a tower of (X, Γ) with F being $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then there is an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A,$$

where $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, such that if

$$h = \phi(1) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i = \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

and

$$b_k := e_{n(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{n(k-1)+n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$e_i \in C(X)$$

and if denote by

$$E_i = e_i^{-1}((0, 1]), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

then

(1)

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma,$$

and

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i\right) < \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma\right), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

(2) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are mutually disjoint open sets $O_{0,1}^k, \dots, O_{0,M}^k$ and $O_{1,1}^k, \dots, O_{1,M}^k$ such that

$$(a) \quad O_{0,m}^k \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+4}^{nk} E_j \quad \text{and} \quad O_{1,m}^k \subseteq O_{1,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_j, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

$$(b) \quad O_{i,m}^k = O_{i,1}^k \kappa_{i,m}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

$$(c) \quad \mu(O_{0,1}^k), \mu(O_{1,1}^k) > \frac{\delta}{8n} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i\right), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

(3) $b_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^*$, $\gamma \in K$, $k_1 \neq k_2$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n$, where $u_\gamma \in A$ is the canonical unitary of γ .

Moreover, n can be chosen arbitrarily large.

Proof. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(7.12) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{n-3} < \frac{\delta}{24}, \quad \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{3}{n} < \frac{\delta}{16}.$$

Pick $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ such that if a finite set $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant, then

$$(7.13) \quad \frac{1}{|\Gamma_0|} |\{\gamma \in \Gamma_0 : x\gamma \in O_{i,m}\}| > \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad x \in X, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, M,$$

and

$$(7.14) \quad \frac{|\partial_{K_0^M} \Gamma_0|}{|\Gamma_0|} < \frac{\delta}{16},$$

where

$$K_0 := \{\kappa_{0,1}, \kappa_{0,2}, \dots, \kappa_{0,M}, \kappa_{1,1}, \kappa_{1,2}, \dots, \kappa_{1,M}\}.$$

By Theorem 4.3 of [6], there are $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant finite sets

$$\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_T \subseteq \Gamma$$

which tile Γ . Applying Lemma 7.2 to $\lambda\delta/32n$, n , and K with respect to the finite sets $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$, one obtains $(\mathcal{F}'', \varepsilon'')$.

By Theorem 4.3 of [6] again, there are $(\mathcal{F}'', \varepsilon'')$ -invariant finite sets

$$\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \dots, \Gamma'_{T'} \subseteq \Gamma$$

which tile Γ . Applying Lemma 7.1 to $\lambda\delta/32n$ and n with respect to the finite sets $\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \dots, \Gamma'_{T'}$, one obtains $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$. Since Γ is infinite, one may assume that $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ is sufficiently large such that if F is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant, then $|F| > 2n^2$.

Then, $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the property of the lemma.

Indeed, let (B, F) be a tower such that F is $(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon)$ -invariant. Then, by Lemma 7.1, there is a finite set $R_1 \subseteq F$ such that

$$(7.15) \quad \frac{|R_1|}{|F|} < \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n}$$

and $F \setminus R_1$ can be tiled by $\Gamma'_1, \dots, \Gamma'_{T'}$ with multiplicities divided by n . By a grouping of the tilings, one has

$$F \setminus R_1 = \Gamma''_1 \sqcup \Gamma''_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma''_n,$$

where Γ''_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are mutually disjoint $(\mathcal{F}'', \varepsilon'')$ -invariant set and

$$|\Gamma''_1| = |\Gamma''_2| = \dots = |\Gamma''_n|.$$

By Lemma 7.2 and the choice of $(\mathcal{F}'', \varepsilon'')$, there are finite sets $\Gamma'''_i \subseteq \Gamma''_i$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'''_i K &\subseteq \Gamma''_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \frac{|\Gamma'''_i|}{|\Gamma''_i|} &> 1 - \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ |\Gamma'''_1| &= |\Gamma'''_2| = \dots = |\Gamma'''_n|, \end{aligned}$$

and each Γ'''_i is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$ with multiplicities divided by n . Since Γ''_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are mutually disjoint, one has

$$\Gamma'''_i K \cap \Gamma'''_j = \emptyset, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad i \neq j.$$

Write

$$R_2 = (F \setminus R_1) \setminus (\Gamma'''_1 \sqcup \Gamma'''_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma'''_n),$$

and one has

$$F \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2) = \Gamma'''_1 \sqcup \Gamma'''_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma'''_n,$$

and

$$(7.16) \quad \frac{|R_2|}{|F|} \leq \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n}.$$

Note that, by (7.12), (7.15), and (7.16), one has

$$(7.17) \quad 2|F \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2)| > |F|.$$

Then, inside each Γ_i''' , since it is tiled by $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_T$ (which are $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant) with multiplicities divided by n , after a grouping, one has

$$\Gamma_i''' = \Gamma_{i,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{i,n},$$

where $\Gamma_{i,j}$ is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant with

$$|\Gamma_{i,1}| = |\Gamma_{i,2}| = \dots = |\Gamma_{i,n}|, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In summary, one obtains the decomposition

$$F \setminus (R_1 \cup R_2) = (\Gamma_{1,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{1,n}) \sqcup \dots \sqcup (\Gamma_{n,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{n,n})$$

with properties

(1)

$$(7.18) \quad |\Gamma_{i_1, j_1}| = |\Gamma_{i_2, j_2}|, \quad 1 \leq i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2 \leq n,$$

(2) each $\Gamma_{i,j}$ is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant,

(3)

$$(7.19) \quad (\Gamma_{i,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{i,n})K \subseteq F, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and

(4) if $i \neq j$, then

$$(7.20) \quad (\Gamma_{i,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{i,n})K \cap (\Gamma_{j,1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Gamma_{j,n}) = \emptyset.$$

Set $e = \varphi_B$, and set

$$e_\gamma = u_\gamma^* e u_\gamma, \quad \gamma \in F.$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq n^2$, write $i = n(k-1) + j$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$, and set

$$e_i = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{k,j}} e_\gamma$$

By (7.18), it follows from Lemma 2.18 that there is a order zero map

$$\phi : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

such that

$$\phi(e_{i,i}) = e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2.$$

Denote by $E_i := e_i^{-1}((0, 1])$ and note that, with $i = n(k-1) + j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$, one has

$$E_i = \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{k,j}} B\gamma,$$

and it is clear that

$$(7.21) \quad \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma,$$

and, by (7.15) and (7.16), one has

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma \setminus \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{n^2} E_k\right) = \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in R_1 \cup R_2} B\gamma\right) < \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma\right), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

This proves Property 1.

Consider

$$b_k := e_{n(k-1)+1} + \cdots + e_{nk}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Note that, with

$$\Gamma_k := \Gamma_{k,1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{k,n},$$

one has

$$b_k = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} e_\gamma,$$

and hence, if $\Gamma_k \gamma \subseteq F$ for a group element γ , then

$$u_\gamma^* b_k u_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_k} u_\gamma^* e_{\gamma'} u_\gamma = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_k} e_{\gamma' \gamma} = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_k \gamma} e_{\gamma'}$$

Thus, by (7.19), (7.20) and the assumption that $K = K^{-1}$, one has that for any $k_1 \neq k_2$,

$$b_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K.$$

This proves Property 3.

Also consider

$$C := C^*\{u_\gamma f : \gamma \in F, f \in C_0(B)\} \subseteq A,$$

the C^* -algebra of the tower (B, F) . Note that, by Lemma 3.12 of [17],

$$C \cong M_{|F|}(C_0(B)),$$

and under this isomorphism, for any $g \in C_0(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma) \subseteq C(X)$, one has $g \in C$ and

$$g \mapsto (x \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in F} g(x\gamma) e_{\gamma, \gamma}).$$

In particular, since for each $i = 0, 1, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $m = 1, \dots, M$, $b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}} \in C_0(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F} B\gamma)$, one has

$$b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}} \in C.$$

Noting that $\Gamma_{i,j}$ are $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant, by (7.13) and (7.17), regarding $b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}}$ as an element of $C \cong M_{|F|}(C_0(B))$, one has that for any $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}}(x)) &= |\{\gamma \in F : (b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}})(x\gamma) > 0\}| \\ &= |\{\gamma \in \Gamma_{k,1} \sqcup \Gamma_{k,2} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{k,n} : x\gamma \in O_{i,m}\}| \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{2} (|\Gamma_{k,1}| + \cdots + |\Gamma_{k,n}|) \\ &= \frac{\delta}{2} n |\Gamma_{k,1}| > \frac{\delta}{4n} |F|; \end{aligned}$$

then, for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$, by (7.21) in the last step,

$$\begin{aligned} (7.22) \quad \mu(O_{i,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_j) &= \mu(\{x \in X : (b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}})(x) > 0\}) \\ &= \int_B \text{rank}(b_k \varphi_{O_{i,m}}(x)) d\mu \\ &\geq \int_B \frac{\delta}{4n} |F| d\mu \\ &= \frac{\delta}{4n} |F| \mu(B) > \frac{\delta}{4n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each $i = 0, 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let us construct open sets $O_{i,m}^k$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Note that (recall $\Gamma_k = \Gamma_{k,1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{k,n}$)

$$O_{i,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_j = O_{i,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k} B\gamma, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Consider

$$\Gamma_k^\circ := \Gamma_k \setminus (\Gamma_{k,1} \cup \Gamma_{k,2} \cup \Gamma_{k,3}) = \Gamma_{k,4} \sqcup \Gamma_{k,5} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Gamma_{k,n},$$

and define

$$O_{0,1}^k := O_{0,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \text{int}_{K_0^M}(\Gamma_k^\circ)} B\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad O_{0,m}^k := O_{0,1}^k \kappa_{0,m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

and

$$O_{1,1}^k := O_{1,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \text{int}_{K_0^M}(\Gamma_k)} B\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad O_{1,m}^k := O_{1,1}^k \kappa_{1,m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Then it is clear that

$$O_{0,m}^k \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{i=n(k-1)+4}^{nk} E_i \quad \text{and} \quad O_{1,m}^k \subseteq O_{1,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_j, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M,$$

Since $\Gamma_{i,j}$ are $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant, the sets Γ_k° is also $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant. By (7.14), one has

$$\frac{|\partial_{K_0^M}(\Gamma_k^\circ)|}{|\Gamma_k^\circ|} < \frac{\delta}{16},$$

and therefore, together with (7.12) and (7.22), for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$ and $i = 0, 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(O_{i,1}^k) &\geq \mu(O_{i,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \text{int}_{K_0^M}(\Gamma_k^\circ)} B\gamma) \\
&\geq \mu(O_{i,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k^\circ} B\gamma) - \mu(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \partial_{K_0^M}(\Gamma_k^\circ)} B\gamma) \\
&\geq \mu(O_{i,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_k^\circ} B\gamma) - \frac{\delta}{16} |\Gamma_k^\circ| \mu(B) \\
&> \mu(O_{i,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+4}^{nk} E_j) - \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j) \\
&\geq \mu(O_{i,1} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_j) - \frac{3}{n^2} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j) - \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j) \\
&\geq \frac{\delta}{4n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j) - \frac{\delta}{8n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j) \\
&= \frac{\delta}{8n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n^2} E_j).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Property 2, as desired. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Let Γ be an infinite discrete amenable group, and let (X, Γ) be a minimal topological dynamical system with the (URP). Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary, and let*

$$O_{0,1}, \dots, O_{0,M}, O_{1,1}, \dots, O_{1,M} \subseteq X$$

be mutually disjoint non-empty open sets together with

$$\{\kappa_{0,1}(= e), \kappa_{0,2}, \dots, \kappa_{0,M}, \kappa_{1,1}(= e), \kappa_{1,2}, \dots, \kappa_{1,M}\} \subseteq \Gamma$$

such that

$$O_{i,m} = O_{i,1} \kappa_{i,m}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Let $K \subseteq \Gamma$ be a symmetric finite set.

Then there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n > 3$) and an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A,$$

where $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, such that if

$$h := \phi(1) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

and

$$b_k := e_{n(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{n(k-1)+n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$e_i \in C(X)$$

and if denote by

$$E_i = e^{-1}((0, 1]), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

one has

- (1) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are mutually disjoint open sets $O_{0,1}^k, \dots, O_{0,M}^k$ and $O_{1,1}^k, \dots, O_{1,M}^k$ such that
- (a) $O_{0,m}^k \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{i=4}^n E_{n(k-1)+i}$ and $O_{1,m}^k \subseteq O_{1,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n E_{n(k-1)+i}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (b) $O_{i,m}^k = O_{i,1}^k \kappa_{i,m}$, $i = 0, 1$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (c)

$$\lambda\mu(O_{0,1}^k) > \frac{3}{n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda\mu(O_{1,1}^k) > \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma),$$

(2)

$$b_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K, \quad k_1 \neq k_2, \quad 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n,$$

where $u_\gamma \in A$ is the canonical unitary of γ .

Proof. Applying Lemma 7.3 with respect to $O_{0,1}, O_{0,2}, \dots, O_{0,M}$ and $O_{1,1}, O_{1,2}, \dots, O_{1,M}$, and

$$\delta := \min\{\mu(O_{i,m}) : i = 0, 1, m = 1, \dots, M, \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)\} > 0,$$

one obtains $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ and n . Since n can be chosen arbitrarily large, one may assume that

$$(7.23) \quad \frac{3}{n^2} < \lambda \frac{3\delta}{32n} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since (X, Γ) is assumed to have the (URP), there exist open towers

$$(B_1, F_1), \dots, (B_S, F_S)$$

such that each F_s , $s = 1, \dots, S$, is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant and

$$(7.24) \quad \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{s=1}^S \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma) < \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

For each tower (B_s, F_s) , since F_s is $(\mathcal{F}', \varepsilon')$ -invariant, by Lemma 7.3, there is an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi_s : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A,$$

where $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, such that if

$$h_s := \phi_s(1) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i^{(s)} := \phi_s(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

and

$$b_{s,k} := e_{n(k-1)+1}^{(s)} + \dots + e_{n(k-1)+n}^{(s)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$e_i^{(s)} \in C(X)$$

and if denote by

$$E_{s,i} = (e_i^{(s)})^{-1}((0, 1]), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

then

(1)

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i} \subseteq \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma,$$

and

$$(7.25) \quad \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i}\right) < \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma\right), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

(2) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are open sets $O_{0,1}^{k,s}, \dots, O_{0,M}^{k,s}$ and $O_{1,1}^{k,s}, \dots, O_{1,M}^{k,s}$ such that

- (a) $O_{0,m}^{k,s} \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+4}^{nk} E_{s,j}$ and $O_{1,m}^{k,s} \subseteq O_{1,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=n(k-1)+1}^{nk} E_{s,j}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
- (b) $O_{i,m}^{k,s} = O_{i,1}^{k,s} \kappa_{i,m}$, $i = 0, 1$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
- (c)

$$(7.26) \quad \mu(O_{0,1}^{k,s}), \mu(O_{1,1}^{k,s}) > \frac{\delta}{8n} \mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i}\right), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

(3) $b_{s,k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{s,k_2} u_\gamma^*$, $\gamma \in K$, $k_1 \neq k_2$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n$.

Then, the order zero c.p.c. map

$$(7.27) \quad \phi := \sum_{s=1}^S \phi_s$$

is the desired map.

Indeed, it follows (7.27) that

$$h = \phi(1) = \sum_{s=1}^S \phi_s(1) = h_1 + \dots + h_S,$$

$$e_i = \phi(e_{i,i}) = \sum_{s=1}^S \phi_s(e_{i,i}) = e_i^{(1)} + \dots + e_i^{(S)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2.$$

In particular,

$$b_k = b_{1,i} + \dots + b_{S,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2$$

and

$$E_i = e_i^{-1}((0, 1]) = E_{1,i} \sqcup \dots \sqcup E_{S,i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2.$$

For each $i = 0, 1$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, set

$$O_{i,m}^k = \bigsqcup_{s=1}^S O_{i,m}^{k,s}.$$

By Conditions 2a and 2b, it is clear that

$$O_{0,m}^k \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=4}^n E_{n(k-1)+j} \quad \text{and} \quad O_{i,m}^k \subseteq O_{i,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{j=1}^n E_{n(k-1)+j}$$

and

$$O_{i,m}^k = O_{i,1}^k \kappa_m, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

By (7.24), (7.25) and (7.23), for any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.28) \quad \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i) &= \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{s=1}^S \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i}) \\
&= \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{s=1}^S \bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma) + \sum_{s=1}^S \mu(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i}) \\
&< \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n} + \sum_{s=1}^S \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} \mu(\bigsqcup_{\gamma \in F_s} B_s \gamma) \\
&< \lambda \frac{\delta}{32n} + \lambda \frac{\delta}{16n} < \lambda \frac{3\delta}{32n} < \frac{1}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

and, then by (7.26) and (7.28)

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda \mu(O_{i,1}^k) &= \lambda \sum_{s=1}^S \mu(O_{i,1}^{k,s}) > \lambda \frac{\delta}{8n} \sum_{s=1}^S \mu(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_{s,i}) \\
&> \lambda \frac{\delta}{8n} (1 - \frac{1}{4}) = \lambda \frac{3\delta}{32n} \\
&> \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Also note that, by (7.23),

$$\lambda \mu(O_{0,1}^k) > \lambda \frac{3\delta}{32n} > \frac{3}{n^2}.$$

This verifies Property 1.

Property 2 follows from Condition 3 straightforwardly. This proves the lemma. \square

Next, let us perturb further the order zero map ϕ obtained by Lemma 7.4. First, we have the following simple observation.

Lemma 7.5. *Let X be compact Hausdorff space, and let T be a compact set of probability Borel measures.*

(1) *If $O \subseteq X$ is an open set and $\lambda, \delta > 0$ satisfy*

$$\lambda \mu(O) > \delta, \quad \mu \in T,$$

then there is a closed set $D \subseteq O$ such that

$$\lambda \mu(D) > \delta, \quad \mu \in T.$$

(2) *If $O \subseteq X$ is an open set and $C \subseteq X$ is closed set satisfying*

$$\lambda \mu(O) > \mu(C), \quad \mu \in T,$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, then there exist a closed set $D \subseteq O$ and an open set $F \supseteq C$ such that

$$\lambda \mu(D) > \mu(F), \quad \mu \in T.$$

Proof. Let us prove the second statement only. The first statement can be shown with a similar argument.

For any $\mu \in T$, pick continuous functions $f_\mu, g_\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f_\mu|_{X \setminus O} = 0$, $g_\mu|_C = 1$, and

$$\lambda \tau_\mu(f_\mu) > \tau_\mu(g_\mu) + \delta_\mu$$

for some $\delta_\mu > 0$, where $\tau_\mu(f) := \int f d\mu$. Then, pick a open neighborhood N_μ of μ such that

$$\lambda |\tau_\mu(f_\mu) - \tau_{\mu'}(f_\mu)| < \frac{\delta_\mu}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad |\tau_\mu(g_\mu) - \tau_{\mu'}(g_\mu)| < \frac{\delta_\mu}{4}, \quad \mu' \in N_\mu,$$

and a straightforward calculation shows

$$\lambda \tau_{\mu'}(f_\mu) > \tau_{\mu'}(g_\mu) + \frac{\delta_\mu}{2}, \quad \mu' \in N_\mu.$$

Since T is compact, there is a finite open cover of T consists of $N_{\mu_1}, \dots, N_{\mu_n}$, where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in T$. With

$$f := \max\{f_{\mu_1}, \dots, f_{\mu_n}\}, \quad g := \min\{g_{\mu_1}, \dots, g_{\mu_n}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\delta_{\mu_1}, \dots, \delta_{\mu_n}\},$$

one has

$$f|_{X \setminus O} = 0, \quad g|_C = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \tau_\mu(f) > \tau_\mu(g) + \delta, \quad \mu \in T.$$

Then, with a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, the closed set $D := f^{-1}([\varepsilon, 1])$ and the open set $F := g^{-1}((1 - \varepsilon, 1])$ satisfy the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let Γ be an infinite group, and let (X, Γ) be a minimal topological dynamical system with the (URP). Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary, and let $O_{0,1}, \dots, O_{0,M}, O_{1,1}, \dots, O_{1,M} \subseteq X$ be mutually disjoint non-empty open sets together with*

$$\{\kappa_{0,1}(= e), \kappa_{0,2}, \dots, \kappa_{0,M}, \kappa_{1,1}(= e), \kappa_{1,2}, \dots, \kappa_{1,M}\} \subseteq \Gamma$$

such that

$$O_{i,m} = O_{i,1} \kappa_{i,m}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Let $K \subseteq \Gamma$ be a symmetric finite set.

Then there is an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

for some $n > 3$ such that if

$$h := \phi(1) \quad \text{and} \quad e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

and

$$b_k := e_{n(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{n(k-1)+n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$e_i \in C(X)$$

and

(1) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are mutually orthogonal positive functions

$$c_{k,1}, \dots, c_{k,M}, d_{k,1}, \dots, d_{k,M} \in C(X)$$

such that

- (a) $c_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{0,m})$ and $d_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{1,m})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (b) $c_{k,m} \perp (e_{(k-1)n+1} + e_{(k-1)n+2} + e_{(k-1)n+3})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (c) $c_{k,m} b_k = c_{k,m}$ and $d_{k,m} b_k = d_{k,m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (d) $c_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* c_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}$ and $d_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* d_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, and
 - (e) $\lambda d_\tau(c_{k,1}) > \frac{3}{n^2}$ and $\lambda d_\tau(d_{k,1}) > d_\tau(1-h)$, $\tau \in T(A)$,
- (2)

$$b_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K, \quad k_1 \neq k_2, \quad 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n,$$

where $u_\gamma \in A$ is the canonical unitary of γ .

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7.4 that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n > 3$) and an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi' : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

such that if

$$h' := \phi'(1) \quad \text{and} \quad e'_i := \phi'(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

and

$$b'_k := e'_{n(k-1)+1} + \dots + e'_{n(k-1)+n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$e'_i \in C(X)$$

and if denote by

$$E_i = (e'_i)^{-1}((0, 1]), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2,$$

then

- (1) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are mutually disjoint open sets $O_{0,1}^k, \dots, O_{0,M}^k$ and $O_{1,1}^k, \dots, O_{1,M}^k$ such that
 - (a) $O_{0,m}^k \subseteq O_{0,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{i=4}^n E_{n(k-1)+i}$ and $O_{1,m}^k \subseteq O_{1,m} \cap \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n E_{n(k-1)+i}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (b) $O_{i,m}^k = O_{i,1}^k \kappa_{i,m}$, $i = 0, 1$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (c)

$$\lambda \mu(O_{0,1}^k) > \frac{3}{n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \mu(O_{1,1}^k) > \mu(X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma),$$

(2)

$$b'_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b'_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K, \quad k_1 \neq k_2, \quad 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma)$ is compact, $O_{0,1}^k$ and $O_{1,1}^k$ are open, and $X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i$ is closed, by Condition (1c) and Lemma 7.5, there are closed sets $D_{i,1}^k \subseteq O_{i,1}^k$, $i = 0, 1$, and an open set $U \supseteq X \setminus \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n^2} E_i$ such that

$$(7.29) \quad \lambda \mu(D_{0,1}^k) > \frac{3}{n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \mu(D_{1,1}^k) > \mu(U), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma).$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$(7.30) \quad V_\varepsilon := \text{int}(f_\varepsilon(h')^{-1}(\{1\})) = \{x \in X : h'(x) > \varepsilon\},$$

and consider the open sets

$$(7.31) \quad W_{i,\varepsilon}^k := (O_{i,1}^k \cap V_\varepsilon) \cap (O_{i,2}^k \cap V_\varepsilon) \kappa_{i,2}^{-1} \cap \dots \cap (O_{i,M}^k \cap V_\varepsilon) \kappa_{i,M}^{-1},$$

which increases to $O_{i,1}^k$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $i = 0, 1$. Since $D_{i,1}^k$ is compact, there is a sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$W_{i,\varepsilon}^k \supseteq D_{i,1}^k, \quad i = 0, 1.$$

Pick a such ε , and one may also assume that

$$U \supseteq \{x \in X : h'(x) < \varepsilon\},$$

and then note

$$U \supseteq \{x \in X : h'(x) < \varepsilon\} = (1 - f_\varepsilon(h'))^{-1}((0, 1]).$$

Then, together with (7.29),

$$(7.32) \quad \lambda\mu(W_{0,\varepsilon}^k) > \lambda\mu(D_{0,1}^k) > \frac{3}{n^2}, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma),$$

and

$$(7.33) \quad \lambda\mu(W_{1,\varepsilon}^k) > \lambda\mu(D_{1,1}^k) > \mu(U) \geq \mu((1 - f_\varepsilon(h'))^{-1}((0, 1])), \quad \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X, \Gamma),$$

It also follows from (7.31) that

$$(7.34) \quad W_{i,\varepsilon}^k \kappa_{i,m} \subseteq V_\varepsilon, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

Set

$$c_{k,1} = \varphi_{W_{0,\varepsilon}^k} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* c_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, M,$$

and

$$d_{k,1} = \varphi_{W_{1,\varepsilon}^k} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* c_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, M.$$

Note that, by (7.31),

$$c_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{0,m}^k) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{1,m}^k), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$

It follows from (7.34) and (7.30) that

$$c_{k,m} f_\varepsilon(b'_k) = c_{k,m} f_\varepsilon(h') = c_{k,m} \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k,m} f_\varepsilon(b'_k) = d_{k,m} f_\varepsilon(h') = d_{k,m},$$

and it follows from (7.32) and (7.33) that for any $\tau \in \mathbb{T}(A)$,

$$\lambda d_\tau(c_{k,1}) = \lambda \mu_\tau(W_{0,\varepsilon}^k) > \frac{3}{n^2}.$$

and

$$\lambda d_\tau(d_{k,1}) = \lambda \mu_\tau(W_{1,\varepsilon}^k) > \mu_\tau((1 - f_\varepsilon(h'))^{-1}((0, 1])) = d_\tau(1 - f_\varepsilon(h')).$$

Then

$$\phi := f_\varepsilon(\phi') : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

is the desired order-zero map.

Indeed, noting that

$$h = \phi(1) = f_\varepsilon(h'),$$

the existence of $c_{k,m}$, $d_{k,m}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $m = 1, \dots, M$, and Property 1 are verified above.

Consider any b_{k_1}, b_{k_2} with $k_1 \neq k_2$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n$. Note that

$$b_{k_1} = f_\varepsilon(b'_{k_1}) \in \text{Her}(b'_{k_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^* = f_\varepsilon(u_\gamma b'_{k_2} u_\gamma^*) \in \text{Her}(u_\gamma b'_{k_2} u_\gamma^*), \quad \gamma \in K,$$

and therefore, it follows from Condition 2 that

$$b_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma b_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K.$$

This verified Property 2, as desired. \square

We are now ready for the main results of the paper.

Proposition 7.7. *Let Γ be an infinite countable discrete amenable group, and let (X, Γ) be a minimal free topological dynamical system with the (URP) and (COS). Then the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has Property (D).*

Proof. Let $a \in \text{ZD}(A)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. It follows from Proposition 6.2 that there are unitaries $u_1, u_2 \in A$, $a' \in C_c(\Gamma, C(X))$ and a non-empty open set $E \subseteq X$ such that

$$\|u_1 a u_2 - a'\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_E a' = a' \varphi_E = 0.$$

In the following, let us verify that a' is actually a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator. Since ε is arbitrary, this shows that A has Property (D).

Note that, since (X, Γ) has the (COS), the sub- C^* -algebra $C(X)$ has the (λ, M) -Cuntz comparison insider A for some $\lambda \in (0, +\infty)$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix λ and M .

Write

$$(7.35) \quad a' = \sum_{\gamma \in K} f_\gamma u_\gamma,$$

where $f_\gamma \in C(X)$ and $K \subseteq \Gamma$ is a symmetric finite set.

Consider the open set E . Since (X, Γ) is minimal, all orbits are dense, and hence there exist non-empty mutually orthogonal open sets

$$O_{0,1}, \dots, O_{0,M}, O_{1,1}, \dots, O_{1,M} \subseteq E$$

and

$$(7.36) \quad \{\kappa_{0,1}(= e), \kappa_{0,2}, \dots, \kappa_{0,M}, \kappa_{1,1}(= e), \kappa_{1,2}, \dots, \kappa_{1,M}\} \subseteq \Gamma$$

such that

$$O_{i,m} = O_{i,1} \kappa_{i,m}, \quad i = 0, 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M.$$

Since (X, Γ) has the (URP), it follows from Lemma 7.6 that there is an order zero c.p.c. map

$$\phi : M_{n^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow A$$

for some $n > 3$ such that if

$$\begin{aligned} h &:= \phi(1), \quad e_i := \phi(e_{i,i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n^2, \\ s_k &:= e_{(k-1)p+1} + \dots + e_{(k-1)p+3}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_k := e_{n(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{n(k-1)+n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

then

$$(7.37) \quad e_i \in C(X)$$

and

(1) for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there are mutually orthogonal positive functions

$$c_{k,1}, \dots, c_{k,M}, d_{k,1}, \dots, d_{k,M} \in C(X)$$

such that

- (a) $c_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{0,m})$ and $d_{k,m} \in \text{Her}(O_{1,m})$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (b) $c_{k,m} \perp s_k$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (c) $c_{k,m}E_k = c_{k,m}$ and $d_{k,m}E_k = d_{k,m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$,
 - (d) $c_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* c_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}$ and $d_{k,m} = u_{\kappa_m}^* d_{k,1} u_{\kappa_m}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, and
 - (e) $\lambda d_\tau(c_{k,1}) > \frac{3}{n^2}$ and $\lambda d_\tau(d_{k,1}) > d_\tau(1-h)$, $\tau \in T(A)$,
- (2)

$$E_{k_1} \perp u_\gamma E_{k_2} u_\gamma^*, \quad \gamma \in K, \quad k_1 \neq k_2, \quad 1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n,$$

where $u_\gamma \in A$ is the canonical unitary of γ .

Let us verify that the order zero map ϕ satisfies Definition 5.1 with $p = q = n$, $l = 1$, and $r = 3$. (With the given p, q, l, r , it is straightforward to verify that 2 of Definition 5.1 holds.)

Note that, by Equations (7.35), (7.37), and Condition 2, for any $k_1 \neq k_2$, $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k_1} a' E_{k_2} &= E_{k_1} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in K} f_\gamma u_\gamma \right) E_{k_2} = \sum_{\gamma \in K} E_{k_1} f_\gamma u_\gamma E_{k_2} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in K} f_\gamma E_{k_1} u_\gamma E_{k_2} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in K} f_\gamma (E_{k_1} u_\gamma E_{k_2} u_\gamma^*) u_\gamma = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this verifies 1 of Definition 5.1.

Set

$$c_k = c_{k,1} + \dots + c_{k,M} \quad \text{and} \quad d_k = d_{k,1} + \dots + d_{k,M}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then, 3a 3b 3c of Definition 5.1 follows directly from Conditions 1a, 1b, and 1c above.

As for 3d of Definition 5.1, note that it follows from Condition 1e above that

$$d_\tau(s_k) \leq \frac{3}{n^2} < \lambda d_\tau(c_{k,1}) \quad \text{and} \quad d_\tau(1-h) < \lambda d_\tau(d_{k,1}), \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

Since (X, Γ) has (λ, M) -Cuntz comparison of open sets and $c_{k,1}, d_{k,1}, h, s_k \in C(X)$, one has

$$s_k \preceq \underbrace{c_{k,1} \oplus \dots \oplus c_{k,1}}_M \quad \text{and} \quad 1-h \preceq \underbrace{d_{k,1} \oplus \dots \oplus d_{k,1}}_M.$$

By Condition 1d above, the positive elements $c_{k,m}$, $m = 1, \dots, M$, are mutually orthogonal and mutually Cuntz equivalent, and the positive elements $d_{k,m}$, $m = 1, \dots, M$ are mutually orthogonal and mutually Cuntz equivalent. One then has

$$c_k \sim \underbrace{c_{k,1} \oplus \dots \oplus c_{k,1}}_M \quad \text{and} \quad d_k \sim \underbrace{d_{k,1} \oplus \dots \oplus d_{k,1}}_M,$$

and hence

$$s_k \preceq c_k \quad \text{and} \quad 1-h \preceq d_k.$$

This shows that a' is a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator, as desired. \square

Theorem 7.8. *Let Γ be a countable discrete amenable group, and let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal topological dynamical system with the (URP) and (COS). Then $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \Gamma) = 1$.*

Proof. If $|\Gamma| < \infty$, since (X, Γ) is minimal, the space X must consist of finitely many points and $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma \cong M_{|\Gamma|}(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, it has stable rank one.

If $|\Gamma| = \infty$, then it follows from Proposition 7.7 that $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has Property (D). Since $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ is finite, it follows from Theorem 5.5 that $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \Gamma) = 1$, as desired. \square

Corollary 7.9. *Let (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) be a free and minimal topological dynamical system. Then $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d) = 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.5 of [16], any free and minimal dynamical system (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) has the (URP) and (COS). It then follows from Theorem 7.8 that $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d) = 1$. \square

Remark 7.10. Without simplicity, the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ might not have stable rank one in general, even if X is the Cantor set, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$, and (X, \mathbb{Z}) has finitely many minimal closed invariant subsets (see, [19] or [3]).

Corollary 7.11. *Let (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) be a free and minimal dynamical system, and set $A = C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then*

- (1) *A has cancellation of projections, i.e., if $p, q \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ are two projections such that $p \oplus r \sim q \oplus r$ for some projections $r \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then $p \sim q$.*
- (2) *A has cancellation in Cuntz semigroup: let $x, y \in W(A)$ such that $x + [c] \leq y + [(c - \varepsilon)_+]$ for some positive element $c \in M_\infty(A)$, then $x \leq y$.*
- (3) *The canonical map $U(A)/U_0(A) \rightarrow K_1(A)$ is an isomorphism. That is, any unitary of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is homotopic to a unitary of A , and if a unitary u of A is connected to the identity with a path of unitaries of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, then u can be connected to the identity by a path of unitaries of A .*

Proof. Statements 1 and 3 are well known fact for C^* -algebras with stable rank one ([21]). Statement 2 follows from Theorem 4.3 of [25] (an earlier version were obtained in [8]). \square

By Theorem 4.1 of [5], the Cuntz semigroup classifies homomorphisms from an inductive limit of interval algebras (AI algebra) to a C^* -algebra A with stable rank one. Therefore we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.12. *Let (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) be a free and minimal dynamical system. Let $\phi_1, \phi_2 : I \rightarrow A = C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$ be two homomorphisms, where I is an AI algebra. Then ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are approximately unitarily equivalent if, and only if, $[\phi_1] = [\phi_2]$ on the Cuntz semigroups.*

The next corollary follows from [27]:

Corollary 7.13. *Let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal dynamical system with the (URP) and (COS). Then for every $f \in \text{LAff}(T(A))_{++}$, where $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, there exists $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})^+$ such that*

$$d_\tau(a) = f(\tau), \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

Moreover, if A has strict comparison of positive elements, then the Cuntz semigroup of A is almost divisible (see [27]). In this case, there are canonical order-isomorphisms

$$\text{Cu}(A) \cong V(A) \sqcup \text{LAff}(\text{T}(A))_{++} \cong \text{Cu}(A \otimes \mathcal{Z}).$$

In particular, the statements above hold for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 8.11 and Corollary 8.12 of [27]. \square

In fact, if $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$ has strict comparison of positive elements, then it actually is Jiang-Su stable:

Corollary 7.14. *Let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal dynamical system with the (URP) and (COS), and denote by $A = C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$. Then $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ if, and only if, A has strict comparison of positive elements (that is, it satisfies the Toms-Winter conjecture). In particular, the statement holds for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^d$.*

Proof. One only need to show the “if” part. Let us show that A is tracially 0-divisible in the sense of Definition 3.5(ii) of [33]. That is, for any positive contraction $a \in M_\infty(A)$, any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an order zero map

$$\phi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Her}(a),$$

where $\text{Her}(a)$ is the hereditary sub-C*-algebra generated by a , such that

$$\tau(\phi(\mathbf{1}_k)) > \tau(a) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall \tau \in \text{T}(A).$$

It then follows from Proposition 4.7 of [18] and the strict comparison assumption that A is tracially \mathcal{Z} -stable. Since A is nuclear, one has that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$.

The proof of the tracial 0-divisibility is similar to that of Corollary 3.2 of [18]. For the given positive contraction a , let us consider the lower semicontinuous affine function

$$\text{T}(A) \ni \tau \mapsto \frac{1}{k} d_\tau(a) \in (0, \infty).$$

Then, by Corollary 7.13, there is a positive element $x \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$d_\tau(x) = \frac{1}{k} d_\tau(a), \quad \forall \tau \in \text{T}(A).$$

For each pair of positive numbers $\delta_1 < \delta_2$, define the continuous function

$$f_{\delta_1, \delta_2}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \delta_1, \\ \frac{t - \delta_1}{\delta_2 - \delta_1}, & \delta_1 < t < \delta_2, \\ 1, & t \geq \delta_2. \end{cases}$$

Also consider the continuous function

$$f_\varepsilon(t) := \max\{t - \varepsilon, 0\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, since A is simple, with a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ (see, Remark 2.7 of [33]), one has

$$\tau(f_{2\delta, 3\delta}(x)) > \frac{1}{k} \tau(f_\varepsilon(a)) > \frac{1}{k} (\tau(a) - \varepsilon), \quad \forall \tau \in \text{T}(A)$$

and use the simplicity again, there is $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\tau(f_{\delta/2,\delta}(x)) < d_\tau(x) - \delta' = \frac{1}{k}(d_\tau(a) - \delta'), \quad \forall \tau \in T(A).$$

Thus, with a perturbation of x , there is a positive element $x' \in M_\infty(A)$ such that,

$$(7.38) \quad \tau(f_{2\delta,3\delta}(x')) > \frac{1}{k}(\tau(a) - \varepsilon), \quad \forall \tau \in T(A)$$

and

$$\tau(f_{\delta/2,\delta}(x')) < \frac{1}{k}d_\tau(a) - \delta', \quad \forall \tau \in T(A).$$

Note that

$$kd_\tau(f_{\delta,2\delta}(x')) < k\tau(f_{\delta/2,\delta}(x')) < d_\tau(a), \quad \forall \tau \in T(A).$$

Since A has strict comparison, one has $k[f_{\delta/2,\delta}(x')] < [a]$ in $W(A)$ (x' and a are in $M_\infty(A)$). By Proposition 2.12 of [33], there is an order zero map $\phi : M_k(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Her}(a)$ such that

$$\phi(e_{1,1}) \approx f_{2\delta,3\delta}(x'),$$

where $a \approx b$ denotes the relation $a = vv^*$, $b = v^*v$ for some v . In particular, by (7.38),

$$\tau(\phi(1_k)) = k\tau(\phi(e_{1,1})) = k\tau(f_{2\delta,3\delta}(x')) > \tau(a) - \varepsilon, \quad \forall \tau \in T(A),$$

as desired. □

Since the real rank of a C^* -algebra A is at most $2 \cdot \text{tsr}(A) - 1$, one has the following estimate:

Corollary 7.15. *Let (X, \mathbb{Z}^d) be a free and minimal dynamical system. The real rank of $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^d$ is either 0 or 1.*

Remark 7.16. Consider a simple unital AH algebra A with diagonal maps. It is known that if A has real rank zero (or just projections separate traces), then A is classifiable ([15]). Does the same statement hold for the crossed-product C^* -algebras $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (or $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ in general)? That is, if $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (or $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, in general) has real rank zero, does $C(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (or $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$, in general) absorb the Jiang-Su algebra \mathcal{Z} tensorially? What if one only assumes that projections separate traces instead of real rank zero?

Let Γ be a countable discrete group with sub-exponential growth, and let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal dynamical system. Assume that (X, Γ) is an extension of a minimal Γ -action on a Cantor set. Then it was shown in [26] that the C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$ has stable rank one. Note that, by Corollary 3.8 and Corollary 8.11 of [17], the dynamical system (X, Γ) has the (URP) and (COS), and therefore this result also can follow from Theorem 7.8.

Corollary 7.17 (c.f. Main Theorem of [26]). *Let Γ be a countable discrete group with sub-exponential growth, let (X, Γ) be a free and minimal dynamical system. Assume that (X, Γ) is an extension of a Γ -action on the Cantor set. Then $\text{tsr}(C(X) \rtimes \Gamma) = 1$.*

8. TWO REMARKS ON PROPERTY (D)

In the final section, let us remark that simple \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras and simple AH-algebras with diagonal maps all have Property (D). These C^* -algebras (if finite for the case of \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras) are known to have stable rank one (see [24] and [9]).

8.1. \mathcal{Z} -stable C^* -algebras. Let A be a unital simple exact C^* -algebra such that $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, where \mathcal{Z} is the Jiang-Su algebra. Note that A has strict comparison of positive elements (we include purely infinite C^* -algebras, which have empty tracial simplices).

Let $a \in \text{ZD}(A)$ with $\|a\| = 1$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Pick $d_1, d_2 \in A^+$ such that $\|d_1\| = \|d_2\| = 1$ and

$$d_1 a = a d_2 = 0.$$

By regarding A as $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, one obtains $\tilde{a}, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ with norm one and a unitary $u \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1$ such that

$$\|u a u^* - \tilde{a}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12}, \quad \|u d_1 u^* - \tilde{d}_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12}, \quad \|u d_2 u^* - \tilde{d}_2\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12},$$

and then

$$\|\tilde{d}_1 \tilde{a}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{a} \tilde{d}_2\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

With a small perturbation of \tilde{d}_1 and \tilde{d}_2 , one may assume that there are positive elements $d'_1, d'_2 \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ with $\|d'_1\| = \|d'_2\| = 1$ such that

$$d'_1 \tilde{d}_1 = d'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad d'_2 \tilde{d}_2 = d'_2$$

Note that

$$(1 - \tilde{d}_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2) \approx_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \tilde{a} \quad \text{and} \quad d'_1 (1 - \tilde{d}_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2) = (1 - \tilde{d}_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2) d'_2 = 0.$$

Pick two orthogonal nonzero positive elements $s_1, s_2 \in 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1$, and consider the positive elements $\tilde{d}_1 s_1$ and $\tilde{d}_2 s_2$. Since s_1, s_2 commute with \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 , one has that

$$\tilde{d}_1 s_1 \perp \tilde{d}_2 s_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{d}_1 s_1) ((1 - d'_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2)) = ((1 - \tilde{d}_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2)) (d'_2 s_2) = 0.$$

Since $A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1$ is simple, there is $v \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1$ with $\|v\| = 1$ such that

$$v v^* \in \text{Her}(d_1 s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad v^* v \in \text{Her}(d_2 s_2),$$

and moreover, with the polar decomposition and a further perturbation, one may assume that there is a positive element b such that $\|b\| = 1$ and $(v v^*) b = b$ (hence $b \in \text{Her}(d_1 s_1)$). It then follows from Lemma 3.2 that there is a unitary $w \in A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1$ such that

$$w b w^* \in \text{Her}(d_2 s_2).$$

Thus, with

$$a' := (1 - \tilde{d}_1) \tilde{a} (1 - \tilde{d}_2) w,$$

one has

$$\|u a u^* w - a'\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad b a' = 0 = a' b.$$

Let us show that a' is a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator, and thus A has Property (D).

Since A is not of type I, there are positive elements $c, d \in \overline{b(A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes 1)b}$ such that $c \perp d$ and $\|c\| = \|d\| = 1$. Since A is simple, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\tau(c), \tau(d) > \delta, \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

Now, consider the embedding $\phi' : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \mathcal{Z}$, and note that

$$(8.1) \quad [a', \phi'(a)] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [b, \phi'(a)] = 0, \quad a \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Pick $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that

$$(n - 3)\delta > 6,$$

and pick a standard embedding

$$\iota : M_{n^2}(C_0((0, 1])) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_{n^2, n^2+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}.$$

Denote by ϕ'' the order zero map induced by the homomorphism $\phi' \circ \iota$, and choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ sufficiently small so that

$$\tau(f_{\varepsilon'}(\phi''(1))) > 1 - \delta/2n, \quad \tau \in T(A).$$

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, define

$$c_k = c \cdot f_{\varepsilon'}(\phi'')(e_{(k-1)n+4} + \dots + e_{(k-1)n+n}),$$

and

$$d_k = d \cdot f_{\varepsilon'}(\phi'')(e_{(k-1)n+1} + \dots + e_{(k-1)n+n}).$$

A straightforward calculation (using (8.1)) shows that $c_k, d_k \in \overline{b(A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Z})b}$, $c_k \perp d_k$ and

$$c_k E_k = c_k \quad \text{and} \quad d_k E_k = d_k,$$

where $E_k := f_{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}}(\phi'')(e_{(k-1)n+1} + \dots + e_{(k-1)n+n})$.

Note that, for any $\tau \in T(A)$,

$$d_\tau(c_k) > \delta \cdot \frac{n-3}{n^2} \cdot \frac{2n-\delta}{2n} > \frac{3}{n^2} \cdot \frac{4n-2}{2n} > \frac{3}{n^2}$$

and

$$d_\tau(d_k) > \delta \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2n-\delta}{2n} > \delta \cdot \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{2n-1}{2n} > \frac{\delta}{2n} > d_\tau(1 - f_{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}}(\phi''(1))).$$

Since A has strict comparison of positive elements, one has that

$$s_k \precsim c_k \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - f_{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}}(\phi''(1)) \precsim d_k.$$

This shows that a' is a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator (with $\phi = f_{\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}}(\phi'')$, $p = q = n$, $r = 3$, and $l = 1$ in Definition 5.1).

8.2. AH algebras with diagonal maps. Recall that an AH algebra with diagonal maps is the limit of a unital inductive sequence (A_n, ψ_n) , where

$$A_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h_n} M_{k_{n,i}}(\mathbb{C}(X_{n,i}))$$

for some compact metrizable space $X_{n,i}$, and if

$$D_n := \bigoplus_i \{\text{diag}\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k_{n,i}}\} : f_k \in \mathbb{C}(X_{n,i})\} \subseteq \bigoplus_i M_{k_{n,i}}(\mathbb{C}(X_{n,i})) = A_n,$$

then

$$\psi_n(D_n) \subseteq D_{n+1}.$$

Let A be simple AH algebra with diagonal maps. It then follows from Theorem 3.4 of [9] that A has Property (D), and we leave the details to readers. Alternatively, let us propose the following approach which is in the similar line of our approach to the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$: Consider

$$D := \varinjlim D_n \subseteq \varinjlim A_n = A.$$

Then the commutative sub- C^* -algebra D actually behaves like the sub- C^* -algebra $C(X)$ of $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$.

Let $a \in A$ satisfy $\|a\| = 1$ and $d_1 a = a d_2 = 0$ for some nonzero positive elements d_1, d_2 , and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. With a telescoping of the inductive sequence if necessary, there are $\tilde{a}, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 \in A_1$ with norm one such that

$$\|a - \tilde{a}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{d}_1 \tilde{a}\|, \|\tilde{a} \tilde{d}_1\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12},$$

where \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 are positive. Since \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 has norm one, there is $x_0 \in X_{1,i}$ for some i such that

$$\|\tilde{d}_1(x_0)\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{d}_2(x_0)\| = 1.$$

Since \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 are positive, by conjugating some constant unitary matrices, one may assume that \tilde{d}_1 and \tilde{d}_2 are diagonal matrices at x_0 . Hence, by cutting the diagonal entry which has value 1 at x_0 , one can find a positive element $h \in D_1$ which is constantly 1 on a small neighborhood of x_0 such that

$$h \tilde{d}_1 \approx_{\frac{\varepsilon}{12}} h \quad \text{and} \quad h \tilde{d}_2 \approx_{\frac{\varepsilon}{12}} h.$$

Then a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\|h \tilde{a}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{a} h\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

Since h is constantly 1 on a neighborhood of x_0 , there is a positive element $b \in D_n$ with norm 1 such that $bh = b$. Then

$$a' := (1 - h)\tilde{a}(1 - h)$$

and b satisfy

$$\|a - a'\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad ba' = a'b = 0.$$

Now, let us show that a' is a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator, and thus A has Property (D).

Choose positive orthogonal functions $c, d \in D_1$ such that $c, d \in bD_1b$. Set

$$\delta = \min\{\tau(c), \tau(d); \tau \in T(A)\} > 0.$$

By another telescoping if necessary, one may assume that

$$(8.2) \quad \frac{3}{k_{1,i}} < \frac{\delta}{2} < \frac{\min\{\text{rank}(c(x)), \text{rank}(d(x))\}}{k_{1,i}}, \quad x \in X_{1,i}.$$

Choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{12}{l-3} < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Set $K := \max\{k_{1,1}, \dots, k_{1,h_1}\} + 1$. Consider A_2 , and to simplify notation, rewrite $A_2 = \bigoplus_{s=1}^S M_{k_s}(C(X_s))$. With a telescoping of the inductive sequence if necessary, one has that, inside each direct summand of A_2 ,

(1) the element a' is a matrix of continuous functions with

$$(8.3) \quad a'_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{if } |i-j| \geq K,$$

(2) write

$$c = \bigoplus_{s=1}^S \text{diag}\{c_1^{(s)}, \dots, c_{k_s}^{(s)}\} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \bigoplus_{s=1}^S \text{diag}\{d_1^{(s)}, \dots, d_{k_s}^{(s)}\},$$

where $c_i^{(s)}, d_i^{(s)} \in C(X_s)$, then, by (8.2), for any $L = 1, \dots, k_s$,

$$(8.4) \quad \frac{\delta}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2K}{L}\right) < \frac{|\{i_0 \leq i \leq i_0 + L - 1 : c_i^{(s)}(x) \neq 0\}|}{L}, \quad 1 \leq i_0 < k_s - L, \quad x \in X_s,$$

and

$$(8.5) \quad \frac{\delta}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2K}{L}\right) < \frac{|\{i_0 \leq i \leq i_0 + L - 1 : d_i^{(s)}(x) \neq 0\}|}{L}, \quad 1 \leq i_0 < k_s - L, \quad x \in X_s,$$

(3) with $k_s = m_s l^2 + r_s$, $0 \leq r_s < l^2$, one has

$$(8.6) \quad m_s l > 2K, \quad \frac{K}{m_s} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4r_s}{m_s l - 2K} < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

Then, for each $s = 1, \dots, S$, consider $M_{k_s}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_{k_s}(C(X_s))$, and consider

$$p_i^{(s)} := \text{diag}\left\{\underbrace{0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}, 1_{m_s}, 0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}}_{i m_s}, 0_{r_s}\right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, l^2.$$

Note that $p_1^{(s)}, p_2^{(s)}, \dots, p_{l^2}^{(s)} \subseteq M_{k_s}(\mathbb{C})$ have the same rank and are mutually orthogonal. Therefore, there is a homomorphism

$$\phi_s : M_{l^2}(\mathbb{C}) \ni e_{i,i} \mapsto p_i^{(s)} \in M_{k_s}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq A_2.$$

Define

$$\phi := \bigoplus_s \phi_s : M_{l^2}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_s M_{k_s}(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq A_2,$$

and set $e_i = \phi(e_{i,i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l^2$, $s_k = e_{l(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{l(k-1)+4}$, $E_k = e_{l(k-1)+1} + \dots + e_{lk}$, $k = 1, \dots, l$, and $h = \phi(1)$.

Then, since $m_s l > 2K$, by (8.3),

$$E_{k_1} a' E_{k_2} = 0, \quad k_2 - k_1 \geq 2.$$

For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, l$, consider

$$c_k := \bigoplus_s \text{diag} \left\{ \overbrace{\underbrace{0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}}_{l(k-1)m_s}, \underbrace{0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}}_{4m_s}, \overbrace{c_{l(k-1)+4}^{(s)}, \dots, c_{lk}^{(s)}}^{l^2 m_s}}^{lm_s}, 0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}, 0_{r_s} \right\},$$

and

$$d_k := \bigoplus_s \text{diag} \left\{ \overbrace{\underbrace{0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}}_{l(k-1)m_s}, \underbrace{d_{l(k-1)+4}^{(s)}, \dots, d_{lk}^{(s)}}_{lm_s}}^{l^2 m_s}, 0_{m_s}, \dots, 0_{m_s}, 0_{r_s} \right\}.$$

Then it is clear that $c_k \perp s_k$, $c_k \perp d_k$, $c_k E_k = c_k$ and $d_k E_k = d_k$.

Note that, by (8.4), (8.5), and (8.6),

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{rank}(c_k(x)) > \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\delta}{2} ((l-4)m_s - 2K) > 3m_s = \text{rank}(s_k(x))$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{rank}(d_k(x)) > \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\delta}{2} (lm_s - 2K) > r_s = \text{rank}((1-h)(x)).$$

Since s_k, c_k, d_k and $1-h$ are diagonal elements, by Theorem 7.8 of [17], one has that

$$s_k \lesssim c_k \quad \text{and} \quad 1-h \lesssim d_k.$$

Therefore, a' is a \mathcal{D}_0 -operator (with $p = q = l$, $l = 2$ and $r = 4$ in Definition 5.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alboiu and J. Lutley. The stable rank of diagonal ASH algebras and crossed products by minimal homeomorphisms. 05 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.00148.pdf>, arXiv:2005.00148.
- [2] D. Archey and N. C. Phillips. Permanence of stable rank one for centrally large subalgebras and crossed products by minimal homeomorphisms. *J. Operator Theory*, 83(2):353–389, 2020. URL: [doi:10.7900/jot.2018oct10.2236](https://doi.org/10.7900/jot.2018oct10.2236).
- [3] S. Bezuglyi, Z. Niu, and W. Sun. C*-algebras of a Cantor system with finitely many minimal subsets: structures, K-theories, and the index map. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, in press, 2020.
- [4] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa. *C*-Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*, volume 88 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2391387>, doi:10.1090/gsm/088.
- [5] A. Ciuperca and G. A. Elliott. A remark on invariants for C*-algebras of stable rank one. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (5):Art. ID rnm 158, 33, 2008. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnm158>, doi:10.1093/imrn/rnm158.
- [6] T. Downarowicz, D. Huczek, and G. Zhang. Tilings of amenable groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 747:277–298, 2019. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=3905135>, doi:10.1515/crelle-2016-0025.

- [7] E. G. Effros and F. Hahn. *Locally compact transformation groups and C^* -algebras*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 75. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [8] G. A. Elliott. Hilbert modules over a C^* -algebra of stable rank one. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can.*, 29(2):48–51, 2007. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2367726>.
- [9] G. A. Elliott, T. M. Ho, and A. S. Toms. A class of simple C^* -algebras with stable rank one. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(2):307–322, 2009. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2008.08.001>, doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2008.08.001.
- [10] G. A. Elliott and Z. Niu. The C^* -algebra of a minimal homeomorphism of zero mean dimension. *Duke Math. J.*, 166(18):3569–3594, 2017. doi:10.1215/00127094-2017-0033.
- [11] J. Giol and D. Kerr. Subshifts and perforation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 639:107–119, 2010. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2010.012>, doi:10.1515/CRELLE.2010.012.
- [12] R. H. Herman and L. N. Vaserstein. The stable range of C^* -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 77(3):553–555, 1984. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=759256>, doi:10.1007/BF01388839.
- [13] T. M. Ho. On inductive limits of homogeneous C^* -algebras with diagonal morphisms between the building blocks, 2006. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Toronto (Canada). URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2709772>.
- [14] Q. Lin. Analytic structure of the transformation group C^* -algebra associated with minimal dynamical systems. *Preprint*.
- [15] Z. Niu. Mean dimension and AH-algebras with diagonal maps. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 266(8):4938–4994, 2014. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.010>, doi:10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.010.
- [16] Z. Niu. Comparison radius and mean topological dimension: \mathbb{Z}^d -actions. *arXiv:1906.09171*, 2019.
- [17] Z. Niu. Comparison radius and mean topological dimension: Rokhlin property, comparison of open sets, and subhomogeneous C^* -algebras. *arXiv:1906.09172*, 2019.
- [18] Z. Niu. \mathcal{Z} -stability of $C(X) \rtimes \Gamma$. *arXiv: 2008.03357*, 2020.
- [19] Y. T. Poon. Stable rank of some crossed product C^* -algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 105(4):868–875, 1989. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2047045>, doi:10.2307/2047045.
- [20] I. F. Putnam. The C^* -algebras associated with minimal homeomorphisms of the Cantor set. *Pacific J. Math.*, 136(2):329–353, 1989. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.pjm/1102650733>.
- [21] Marc A. Rieffel. Dimension and stable rank in the K-theory of C^* -algebras. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 46(2):301–333, 1983. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s3-46.2.301>, doi:10.1112/plms/s3-46.2.301.
- [22] M. Rørdam. On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF algebra. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 100:1–17, 1991.
- [23] M. Rørdam. On the structure of simple C^* -algebras tensored with a UHF-algebra. II. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 107(2):255–269, 1992. URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(92\)90106-S](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(92)90106-S), doi:10.1016/0022-1236(92)90106-S.
- [24] M. Rørdam. The stable and the real rank of \mathcal{Z} -absorbing C^* -algebras. *Internat. J. Math.*, 15(10):1065–1084, 2004. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0129167X04002661>, doi:10.1142/S0129167X04002661.
- [25] M. Rørdam and W. Winter. The Jiang-Su algebra revisited. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 642:129–155, 2010.
- [26] Y. Suzuki. Almost finiteness for general étale groupoids and its applications to stable rank of crossed products. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, 2018.
- [27] H. Thiel. Ranks of operators in simple C^* -algebras with stable rank one. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, to appear.
- [28] A. S. Toms. On the classification problem for nuclear C^* -algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1029–1044, 2008. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4007/annals.2008.167.1029>, doi:10.4007/annals.2008.167.1029.
- [29] J. Villadsen. Simple C^* -algebras with perforation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 154(1):110–116, 1998. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1997.3168>, doi:10.1006/jfan.1997.3168.

- [30] J. Villadsen. On the stable rank of simple C^* -algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(4):1091–1102, 1999. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00314-8>, doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00314-8.
- [31] D. P. Williams. *Crossed Products of C^* -Algebras*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Volume 134. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/surv/134>, doi:10.1090/surv/134.
- [32] W. Winter. Covering dimension for nuclear C^* -algebras. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(8):4143–4167, 2009. URL: <https://mathscinet.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=2500882>, doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-09-04602-9.
- [33] W. Winter. Nuclear dimension and \mathcal{Z} -stability of pure C^* -algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 187(2):259–342, 2012. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-011-0334-7>, doi:10.1007/s00222-011-0334-7.
- [34] G. Zeller-Meier. Produits croisés d’une C^* -algèbre par un groupe d’automorphismes. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 47:101–239, 1968.

COLLEGE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHEAST NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, JILIN, CHINA, 130024

Email address: licg864@nenu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING, LARAMIE, WYOMING, USA, 82071

Email address: zniu@uwyo.edu